1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	RONI GILADI,	
4		
5	Plaintiff,	
6	V.	94 CV 3976 (JPO)
7	BERISH STRAUCH, HARRIS STERMAN, MONTIFIORE MEDICAL	
8	CENTER, "JOHN DOE," "RICHARD ROE," last two names being fictitious, true names being	
9	unknown,	
10	Defendants.	
11	x	
12		New York, N.Y. December 13, 2011 9:30 a.m.
13 14	Before:	
15	HON. J. PAUL OETKEN,	
		District Judge
16	APPEARANCES	
17	PHILIP J. DINHOFER	
18	Attorney for Plaintiff	
19	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER Attorney for Defendants GERARD JOSEPH HEUBEL	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
               (Trial resumed)
 2
               (In open court; jury not present)
 3
               THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. All set for the
 4
      jury?
 5
               MR. DINHOFER: We have a few matters to discuss.
6
               THE COURT: Okav.
 7
               MR. DINHOFER: First of all, as I said yesterday, I
      have some cases for your Honor regarding fraudulent concealment
8
9
      cause of action from the Court of Appeals of the State of New
10
            The first is Simcuski v. Saeli and I've highlighted in
11
      yellow the relevant text, but the relevant part is that the
      Court of Appeals says standing alone such non-disclosure or
12
13
      concealment will not, however, serve as the basis for a
14
     distinct cause of action in fraud.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. I'll look at this later.
      to get the jury going. Is there -- we'll look at this --
16
17
               MR. DINHOFER: The other case also, where they say if
      he had, he only pled malpractice, but there was a statute of
18
      limitations issue, and they said it would have been okay in
19
20
      dictum more or less, if he had been timely he would have had
21
      his fraudulent concealment, equitable estoppel.
22
               THE COURT: Anything else?
23
               MR. HEUBEL: Yes, I --
24
               MR. DINHOFER: I have something else. I'm sorry.
25
      Judge I'm going to be going ahead with my client today, and I
```

want to be sure we have some understanding. I was not allowed to question Dr. Strauch with regard to his prior acts of malpractice and I want to be sure counsel is not going to be questioning my client about his prior lawsuit against Albert Einstein College of Medicine for employment discrimination, I think that the same rules that apply to why I couldn't go into that with Dr. Strauch cover this case as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Heubel, are you going to be going into

THE COURT: Mr. Heubel, are you going to be going into that?

MR. HEUBEL: I'm not planning on going into it, except to bring out that there was a prior lawsuit.

MR. DINHOFER: That's the whole point. The fact he sued his employer has no relevance to this case.

THE COURT: Has it come up yet?

MR. DINHOFER: No.

MR. HEUBEL: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Wasn't there a motion in limine relating to disability claim, and I think the ruling was that it was excluded except if it's necessary to rebut something in plaintiff's case. I don't think there's relevance to it.

MR. HEUBEL: Please note my exception.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DINHOFER: So we won't be hearing from that transcript at all then. He won't pull that out. I couldn't do it with the doctor with the malpractice transcript.

1 MR. HEUBEL: I don't intend to do that. 2 MR. DINHOFER: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Anything else? 4 MR. HEUBEL: Just one thing. Counsel and I had agreed 5 to admit in evidence the records of Dr. Morton Spinner. I've 6 made copies of those and I'd like to have them marked and 7 admitted by stipulation. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Is that agreed upon? 9 MR. DINHOFER: Well, I did stipulate, your Honor, the 10 only thing, I wish counsel had done this sooner, I might have used it when I was in that dark phase of my cross-examination 11 12 of Dr. Strauch. I was deprived of that opportunity because he 13 didn't bring it in any sooner, but I did agree to it. 14 MR. HEUBEL: We both had copies of it, Judge, and both 15 had an equal opportunity to do it. MR. DINHOFER: That was your exhibit, not mine. You 16 17 said you were going to bring it in. 18 MR. HEUBEL: We stipulated --MR. DINHOFER: You said you were going to bring it in. 19 20 I'm not disagreeing. It was stipulated. 21 THE COURT: You can bring it in. 22 MR. DINHOFER: I just wish you had done it sooner. 23 THE COURT: Anything else? 24 MR. HEUBEL: Can I pass this up? 25 THE COURT: Sure. Just leave it for Mr. Skolnik.

```
1
              MR. DINHOFER: Do you have a tag?
 2
              MR. HEUBEL: Are we up to 15?
 3
              MR. DINHOFER: You're marking it Defendant's B?
 4
              MR. HEUBEL: Defendant's B.
 5
              MR. DINHOFER: Then you can offer it in front of the
6
      jury I quess at some point.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything else for now?
              MR. HEUBEL: No, Judge.
8
9
               THE COURT: Okay, you can bring the jury in.
10
               (Pause)
11
               THE COURT: A note from the jury.
12
              MR. DINHOFER: I guess if the jury asks for the
13
      exhibits we're not going to give them the redactions because
14
      they could read what they couldn't read, right?
               THE COURT: Well, we'll address that -- okay. I have
15
      a note from the jury dated today, 12/13/11, 9:45 a.m. "Your
16
17
      Honor" -- there are four points. Number one, Juror No. 5 will
      not be able to attend on Monday, 12/19 and would prefer not to
18
     be here on Friday 12/16 also. Two: Juror No. 2 would prefer
19
20
      not to be here on Thursday after 3:30 p.m. Three: Juror No. 1
21
      would prefer not to be here on Thursday after 2:00 p.m. Four:
22
      Juror No. 8 would like to keep a firm 4:30 p.m. on Wednesday.
23
      I assume that means a firm 4:30 p.m. appointment on Wednesday.
24
              MR. HEUBEL: Appointment or --
25
              MR. DINHOFER: End time. The end time we meet.
```

MR. HEUBEL: It could be the end time, we're not sure. 1 2 THE COURT: Maybe it means a firm end time on 3 Wednesday. 4 I think what this means is we're at serious risk of 5 losing the jury. We told them five to seven days, I told them five to seven days and they're getting antsy. I think we've 6 7 got to finish the evidence in this case tomorrow and get the case to go to the jury on Thursday or tomorrow. 8 9 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I've instructed my expert to be 10 here 9:30 sharp tomorrow and I don't see any reason why we 11 can't continue with him, and I may put Dr. Strauch on if my 12 case begins this afternoon, and that should not take up a lot 13 of time, but will take up some. 14 I think, though, your Honor was fairly accurate, 15 We've had I guess five days worth of testimony, so though. you're still pretty much on the mark, I think. 16 17 MR. DINHOFER: We didn't work Friday. THE COURT: No, that's true. Okay. So some of these 18 may be moot if we do finish Wednesday or early Thursday. 19 Ι'm 20 hoping we finish Wednesday. 21 MR. DINHOFER: You could tell the jury just that fact, 22 we hope to get the case to them by late Wednesday afternoon or 23 early Thursday morning, depending on how it plays out. 24 THE COURT: Okay, you can bring them in.

(Continued on next page)

(In open court; jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Please be seated. I've received the note from your foreperson, and I appreciate your continued patience and work on the case.

I understand that there are some scheduling issues that come up and I will bear those in mind, and I've informed the parties to bear those in mind. I do think, I do hope to get the evidence completed by tomorrow so that the case, we're hopeful the case will go to you, the jury, by the end of tomorrow or first thing Wednesday or Thursday morning. Hopefully by the end of tomorrow. We'll do our best to get the case to you and also accommodate your scheduling issues. Okay?

So we're going to continue now with testimony still in plaintiff's case, and this is, there was earlier testimony that we began for scheduling reasons when Mr. Dinhofer was questioning Mr. Giladi, the plaintiff in the case, and he's going to be, I believe, continuing with that testimony now.

MR. DINHOFER: Before we do that, your Honor, there was just one brief thing. I was going to request that the Court take judicial notice of the following statutes. 18, United States Code, Section 24, which is definition --

MR. HEUBEL: Judge --

THE COURT: You object to this?

MR. HEUBEL: Yes. I don't think that it's appropriate that we do this at this point.

```
THE COURT: We'll talk about this --
1
               MR. DINHOFER: It's part of my case in chief, your
 2
 3
              It has to be in evidence.
      Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Well, I have to decide whether I'm going
5
      to take notice of it.
6
               MR. DINHOFER: Can we have a sidebar?
 7
               THE COURT: Not now.
8
               MR. DINHOFER: Okay. Then we'll resume with
9
     Mr. Giladi.
10
       RONI GILADI,
11
           called as a witness by the Plaintiff,
           having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
12
13
               THE COURT: Mr. Giladi, I remind you you're still
14
      under oath from your previous testimony. You may be seated.
15
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
      BY MR. DINHOFER: (Continued)
16
17
          Good morning, Mr. Giladi.
      Ο.
18
          Good morning.
      Α.
          If I recall correctly when we left off you told us about
19
20
      how you had awoken from surgery from the pain that you had.
21
      The subsequent office visits, I believe we went through the two
22
      or three office visits that you had with Dr. Strauch and my
23
      notes indicate we were somewhere around the March 13 '92 office
24
      visit?
25
          Could be. I believe so.
      Α.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. Do you recall what occurred on that date? I'm sorry if I'm repeating a little bit, but just to pick up from where we left off, but do you recall what occurred on that date?
- One second, please. Α.

(Pause)

- Α. Yes.
- Could you tell us what occurred on that date?

right hand and using my body as a -- I'm sorry.

I went to visit Dr. Strauch for followup. When I came to his office I informed him that I -- this is a week or so after my returning to work, like the first two weeks after returning I explained to him that I'm having a very difficult time to perform my duties at work, and I'm barely able to use my left hand and I am putting all the pressure of my duty on my

(Pause)

- Sorry. 16 Α.
 - Take a second, please. Ο.
 - I told him that I -- it's very important for me to continue with my job because I have kids to take care of, and I need his help. I told him that I, during the physical therapy that I was been instructed or being told by Mrs. Lang to do at home, the home exercises she gave me. I'm doing the warm bath, but the pain is not going away. I'm still having swollen, my hand is still swollen a little bit. The sharp pain is still there. The emission sensation is there, and I'm totally abusing my

body because I have a lot of work with heavy equipment which I cannot do with two hands and I have to use my right hand and my body to be able to carry it.

He told me that I had to be patient because in the type of surgery that I had it would take about nine to ten months for recovery. At this moment I turned to him and I said this is contradicted to what you told me before the surgery. You never told me that. You told me that within three to four weeks, tops, four weeks, I will be at work in full steam and I would be like I was the day I was born. I don't feel so. He told me be patient, you're doing very well, you're improving fine, and I will see you the next visit.

- Q. There were two things that you mentioned in there that I just want to come back to for a second. One is that you mentioned at this point of this visit you had returned to work.

 Do you remember when it is that you actually returned to work?
- A. I believe maybe the 9th or the 8th of March. I cannot tell you exactly the dates, but I think around the neighborhood between the 5th to the 9th.
 - Q. Was that more or less the date that was on the third form, the third disability form, whatever that date was?
- A. Could be.
- Q. And the other thing you mentioned was a physical therapist,
 Ann Lang?
- 25 A. Yes, Ann Lang, when I was at his office in January, when

- Dr. Strauch took the cast off, and he looked at my hand, I was 1 asking him or he refer, I do not recall exactly what happened, 2
- 3 but I went to the physical therapy and he told me that he would
- 4 like to go to his physical therapist which I went to her and I
- 5 was in her office and I was only once in with her in her
- 6 office. It was never a second visit was scheduled and she gave
- 7 me what's called home exercise to do, which I followed up
- 8 religiously.
- 9 Q. Now, over the next few months, did you continue to see
- 10 Dr. Strauch?
- 11 Religiously, the way he told me to do.
- 12 And do you have any notes showing when you next saw
- 13 Dr. Strauch?
- 14 A. As I said before, I don't have all the notes that I -- I
- did not find all the notes, but my next notes go into July 30, 15
- 1992. 16
- 17 O. Okay. Well, let's go back, because Dr. Strauch, if I
- 18 recall correctly, we saw in his notes that you were there on
- 19 April 3rd of 1992. Did anything different occur, to your
- 20 recollection, on April 3rd of '92 than on any of the prior
- 21 visits?
- 22 The next time, each time, each and every time I went to
- 23 Dr. Strauch I complained about the same things about burning
- 24 feeling, diminished sensations, difficulty to use the hands,
- 25 pain, sharp pain at the elbow. I cannot be around people

- because every touch to the elbow I will jump and I will have, I 1 will be have a lot of pain and discomfort for a long period of 2 3 time, and his response was on a regular basis, you're doing
- 4 well, be patient.
- 5 Q. And would the same be true in May of '92 when you saw
- Dr. Strauch? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And would the same be true in July of '92 when you saw 8
- 9 Dr. Strauch?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And during this next four-month period of time, are you
- 12 back at work?
- 13 I was working and I was struggling and the people I'm Α.
- 14 working with are mostly from the neurology department at
- Montefiore on a regular basis do not understand what happened 15
- to me that I'm not the same person I used to be before 16
- 17 December. They know me as a very eager --
- 18 MR. HEUBEL: Objection as to what other people thought
- 19 or said. Hearsay.
- 20 That's sustained. Please just testify to THE COURT:
- 21 what you know and not what you surmise other people were
- 22 thinking or feeling.
- 23 Since I came back to work, I was not doing my work the way
- 24 Things taking me longer. And in many cases I had I used to.
- 25 to even -- if I had a case supposed to start at 8:00 in the

- morning I had to come three hours prior to the case to be able to get things ready if I want the surgery to start on time.
- Q. And before Dr. Strauch's surgery, what time would you have arrived and have started on time?
 - A. A half hour, 45 minutes tops before surgery.
 - Q. Did there come a time in July of 1992 -- withdrawn. Did there come a time in May of '92, I'm sorry, or during this period of time between April and July of '92 where you sought out other medical opinions?
- 10 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 \mathbb{Q} . Why is that?
- A. Because I felt there's something wrong with me and I want to know what's going on and I find out that the doctors who did the surgery on me --
 - MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Wait for the next question.
- MR. DINHOFER: He was answering the question. I don't know what the objection was. I asked him why --
 - MR. HEUBEL: I thought he started to say, Judge, and I found out -- I may have misheard that.
 - THE COURT: Hold on a second. Yes, the question was did there come a time between April and July of '92 when you sought out other medical opinion.
 - MR. DINHOFER: And then why is that, and he was telling us why.

1CDFGIL1

Giladi - direct

- 1 THE COURT: Why did you seek out other medical 2 opinions?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Because I was not -- because my
- 4 complaint was not being addressed by the physician I'm going
- 5 to.
- The physician you're going to, meaning Dr. Strauch? 6 0.
- 7 My surgeon. Α.
- Meaning Dr. Strauch? 8 Q.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Who did you see? Q.
- 11 Α. I saw Dr. Goodrich.
- 12 And when did you go see Dr. Goodrich?
- 13 I think a week or two or in this neighborhood. After I saw Α.
- 14 Dr. Strauch, I think after the July visit with Dr. Strauch.
- 15 MR. DINHOFER: If I may, one second here.
- 16 (Pause)
- 17 Q. Prior to seeing Dr. Goodrich, do you remember going for an
- EMG test? 18
- I saw Goodrich in '92. Yes, I went to see Dr. -- I saw 19
- 20 Dr. Sadeh in Israel in November of 1992 -- '91.
- 21 That's December of '92. In May of 1992 do you remember Q.
- 22 seeing a Dr. Jerry Kaplan?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Who is Dr. Jerry Kaplan? 0.
- 25 He's a neurologist at Albert Einstein College of Medicine. Α.

MR. DINHOFER: All right, and for the record we have

- Dr. Kaplan's records in evidence as Exhibit 4. 2
- 3 And how is it that you came to see Dr. Kaplan in 1992?
- I know Dr. Kaplan for many years and I had a job at 4
- 5 Einstein and when I was there I saw Dr. Kaplan and through our
- conversation he told me --6
- 7 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I stopped.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 Well, did you see Dr. Kaplan back in '87 when you had the
- 11 median nerve injury?
- 12 Α. I did.
- And did you see him prior to that when you had a car 13
- 14 accident?
- I know him since '83. 15 Α.
- Okay. And could you tell us how many different EMG's has 16
- 17 Dr. Kaplan taken of you over the years?
- I believe a total of no more than four or five. 18 Α.
- 19 Okay. And do you know what the results were of the EMG
- 20 that Dr. Kaplan performed on you?
- Dr. Kaplan, which I learned about the results, that he --21
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 MR. DINHOFER: Okay.
- 25 When you saw Dr. Kaplan, was there any recommendation for

1 | treatment?

3

4

5

7

2 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.

THE COURT: I think it's hearsay. Sustained.

MR. DINHOFER: Okay.

- Q. Did Dr. Kaplan perform any treatment?
- 6 A. He did an EMG.
 - Q. Okay. What was your next course of action following seeing
- 8 Dr. Kaplan?
- 9 | A. I saw Dr. Goodrich.
- 10 | Q. And what did Dr. Goodrich do for you?
- 11 A. He took a history. He did a physical examination and
- 12 during the physical examination he determined that I have
- 13 | injury to the nerve.
- 14 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 MR. HEUBEL: As to what Dr. Goodrich determined.
- MR. DINHOFER: His records and reports are in
- 18 | evidence, your Honor.
- 19 MR. HEUBEL: And that's all that's in evidence, Judge.
- 20 | THE COURT: Yes. I don't think he could testify to
- 21 what Dr. Goodrich told him because that would be hearsay.
- 22 MR. DINHOFER: I don't think he was telling what he
- 23 | told him.
- 24 | THE COURT: If it's in evidence, he can read from the
- 25 document.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Are you able to see on the monitor the July 27, 1992 report of Dr. Goodrich?
- A. Yes. He's writing exactly what I told him, that I gave him a history, dated back to 1987, then he said that he underwent surgery after EMG documented ulnar neuropathy by Dr. Strauch in December of 1991 --
- MR. HEUBEL: Objection. Judge, the witness was asked a question and now he's reading from a document. It's either his testimony if it's permissible or we have the document.

THE COURT: What was the question, counsel?

MR. DINHOFER: I'll move on, your Honor.

- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
 - Q. Well, in the second paragraph of this report, Dr. Goodrich states: So in summary, what we have is a man status post ulnar nerve transposition with neuroma or injury to the ulnar nerve itself. Did Dr. Goodrich communicate that information to you?
 - Q. And then he says I have recommended to Roni that he have it reexplored to find out what has happened to the nerve and to rule out a neuroma. Did Dr. Goodrich communicate that information to you?
- 22 A. Yes.

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Based on that information that was communicated to you by Dr. Goodrich, what did you next do?
 - A. I did what Dr. Goodrich told me to do and I went back to

- 1 Dr. Strauch.
- 2 | Q. To this point in all the conversations you had with
- 3 Dr. Strauch, has he ever told you that you did not have an
- 4 | ulnar nerve transposition on December 11 of 1991?
- 5 A. December 12.
- 6 Q. December 12, I'm sorry, December 12 of 1991.
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. So when do you next see Dr. Strauch after Goodrich?
- 9 A. I believe in August.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And did you communicate to him at that time that you
- 11 | had been seen by Dr. Goodrich?
- 12 A. I believe I told him, I do not know if I said clear
- Dr. Goodrich, because Dr. Goodrich was not comfortable with the
- 14 | issue because Dr. Strauch is boss.
- 15 MR. HEUBEL: Objection. Objection and move to strike.
- 16 Unresponsive and hearsay.
- 17 THE COURT: It's statements in the form of treating,
- 18 so I think that that's a hearsay exception, so I'll allow it.
- 19 | Q. Okay. Can you repeat that answer, because I'm not sure we
- 20 heard it over the objection.
- 21 A. Dr. Goodrich was uncomfortable with situation --
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 23 A. Because Dr. Strauch is boss in one area of his profession.
- 24 THE COURT: The objection is sustained as to
- 25 statements about what Dr. Goodrich told the plaintiff. He can

testify to what he said to Dr. Goodrich, but not to what Dr. Goodrich said to him or what he was thinking.

MR. HEUBEL: And I ask, Judge, also that it be stricken.

MR. DINHOFER: I'm asking why he didn't mention it, and all he's saying is because Strauch was the boss he's uncomfortable about getting involved in that. That's not communicating any statements. It's the fact of why he didn't do what he said to do.

THE COURT: It's testifying to a statement of Dr. Goodrich or what Dr. Goodrich was thinking or feeling.

MR. DINHOFER: He could have knowledge of that personal relationship as well, your Honor.

THE COURT: But he can't testify to what Dr. Goodrich is comfortable or not comfortable with, so the jury is instructed to disregard insofar as it states anything about what Dr. Goodrich was thinking or was comfortable with or not comfortable with.

Q. What occurred on the occasion of the visit with Dr. Strauch, if anything, different from any of the prior visits?

A. No, nothing different.

MR. DINHOFER: Did you say something, Gerry?

MR. HEUBEL: You know I didn't say anything.

MR. DINHOFER: I'm sorry, I thought I heard something.

1CDFGIL1

Giladi - direct

- It must have been outside. I thought it was you. 1
- I may ask Dr. Strauch, I do not remember exactly when, for 2
- 3 a letter to the military in Israel.
- 4 I'm sorry? Q.
- 5 I asked him, I may asked him for some document that I would
- be excused from military service in Israel. 6
- 7 Okay, and why is that?
- 8 Because my condition was not -- I was not in a position
- 9 where I could serve in military duties and in order for me to
- 10 be excused if I'm in the country and an emergency occurred and
- I would need to be in service, that I don't want to be at all 11
- 12 in the military, so I have to have something in my hand to tell
- 13 them why I cannot serve in the Army.
- 14 And did Dr. Strauch give you such a note? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes, he did.
- And is that the note we saw in the file, I believe that was 16
- 17 in October or November?
- 18 Something like that. Α.
- Of '92? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 If I recall correctly, if I can find it --Q.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 I'm sorry, September 1, '92. Is this the note that
- 24 Dr. Strauch gave you?
- 25 I believe so, yes. Α.

5

18

19

20

- Q. And again, does this note indicate that Dr. Strauch is telling you that, in the third paragraph, that you underwent a
- 3 transposition --
 - A. He made that clear that on December 12, 1991 I underwent a transposition of the ulnar nerve at the elbow.
- Q. And again to the point of this note, can we agree as of this time still no one has told you that you did not in fact have an ulnar nerve transposition?
- A. No. The letter itself states very clear I did a transposition and this letter I'm going to provide it to an authority overseas, so it is clear to me at that time, even if he implied in any way, it's clear to me that a transposition was never being done.
- 14 | Q. The transposition was done?
- 15 | A. Never done. Sorry, the transposition was done.
- Q. Okay. Now, going through September, October, November, and you continued during those months to see Dr. Strauch?
 - A. I saw him in October, and in October I clearly indicated to him that I was, during my work I'm talking with other neurologists that I'm working with. I told him that all of them --
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- MR. DINHOFER: I told him?
- MR. HEUBEL: All of them.
- MR. DINHOFER: He told him.

| TODI SILI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I'm talking what I said to Dr. Strauch.

THE COURT: Are you saying I told him, Dr. Strauch?

THE WITNESS: I'm talking with regard to Dr. Strauch, I'm now in the office with Dr. Strauch and I'm explaining what

I said to Dr. Strauch.

THE COURT: Okay.

A. I told Dr. Strauch that all the neurologists I'm working with indicating that I have some problem with my hand, and should be --

MR. HEUBEL: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may continue.

A. Should be a little bit explored. Dr. Strauch told me that I am talking with too many peoples, I should stop consulting with everybody that I see. I'm doing very well. He said to me you don't have any problem. If you have any problem, you have it in your head because you're a hypochondriac. I stopped for a second and I said, "What did you say?"

He said, "You're a hypochondriac, you have no problem."

I said, "I'm not a hypochondriac. I have problem. I have pain. I cannot see my kids. Because they're not allowed to come to my left side. They like to play with me, I cannot play with them. Don't tell me I am a hypochondriac."

I felt like lost. I did not know what to do any more. After leaving his office, I took five weeks vacation to search

- to see what is going on with me.
- And when you took this vacation, where did you go? 2 Q.
- 3 I went to Israel. Α.
- 4 And when you were in Israel on this vacation, this would be Q.
- 5 roughly, I guess December of '92?
- 6 I went, yes, I think December, January. I think the last
- 7 week of November, maybe part of all December and part of
- January, beginning of January, a few weeks in January. 8
- 9 And did you see any doctors while you were in Israel? Q.
- 10 Yes. I saw a neurologist. Α.
- Who is that? 11 Ο.
- 12 Α. Dr. Sadeh.
- 13 We have Dr. Sadeh's records in evidence as Plaintiff's Ο.
- 14 Exhibit 6. And can you tell us what did Dr. Sadeh do for you
- 15 when you saw him?
- A. He took a history. He did a physical examination and he 16
- 17 did an EMG.
- 18 Q. And where it says diagnosis in Dr. Sadeh's report of
- December 31, 1992, that's in evidence, where it says diagnosis 19
- 20 bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, ulnar neuropathy most
- 21 probably due to injury at elbow, did Dr. Sadeh communicate that
- 22 information to you?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Following that visit with Dr. Sadeh, what did you next do? Ο.
- 25 I came back to Dr. Strauch. Α.

- For what purpose?
- To report to him what happened in Israel. He is my 2
- 3 physician.

7

- 4 When you went to see Dr. Strauch, did you bring with you a Ο.
- 5 copy of Dr. Sadeh's report and EMG?
- I had it with me. 6 Α.
 - Did you attempt to show that report to Dr. Strauch?
- Yes, but he refused. 8 Α.
 - Ο. Refused what?
- 10 He said to me that this EMG was done on different machines
- 11 than the one prior to surgery and this is not going to reflect
- 12 anything for him or give him any information, but he asked me
- 13 to take Dr. Berg's report --
- 14 Q. Berger?
- Berger's report and send it to the doctors in Israel for 15
- comparison. He also told me that he would like me to have the 16
- EMG repeated with the same doctors I did preop. I asked him if 17
- you claiming that the doctors, that you cannot see -- this 18
- report is not going to help you in any way because it's done on 19
- 20 different machine and different operator, how this report is
- 21 going to be any value to Dr. Sadeh for comparison. And I told
- 22 him that there's no point for me to have EMG within few weeks
- 23 one from each other, especially when the information it's
- 24 giving me isn't contradicted, because on the one hand he cannot
- 25 compare, but Dr. Sadeh can compare.

2

3

4

7

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

So he told me that I cannot -- he is not going to see me for my hand until I do the EMG with Dr. Berg. So I feel at this time that I lost my physician.

- What do you mean by I lost my physician? 0.
- 5 I can't -- I'm not going to do the EMG. There's no point 6 for me to do another EMG with him. It's not an easy test.
 - What does EMG entail?
- Sorry, in this, in this meeting I had also another thing 8 9 from Dr. Strauch that I would like to go over it.
- 10 All right, we'll go back to that, then. Tell us what 11 happened in the meeting with Dr. Strauch.
- You have needles being sticked to your hand, electroshock 12 13 was being given. It's not comfortable test.
- 14 When they administer the electric shock at the elbow, how Q. 15 did they go about doing that?
 - They go to the area of the nerve and they stimulate the nerve and measure the speed or whichever, I'm not a neurologist, and they take that measure and measuring and then write. Dr. Sadeh it was computerized, he did not do anything, everything was in the computer.
- 21 How do they stimulate the nerve? Q.
- 22 Α. With the electric shock.
 - And can you show us on your good arm where they actually put the probe that they touch to your nerve in order to stimulate it?

- Giladi direct
- 1 Here for the ulnar nerve and here for the median nerve.
- Do they ever go behind the --2 Q.
- 3 They went the other --Α.
- 4 The elbow. Q.
- 5 Anatomic where the nerve is supposed to be the day you're
- 6 born.
- 7 By the groove? Q.
- 8 Α. By the groove, yeah.
- 9 They don't go any higher than the groove?
- 10 I cannot tell you exactly the site, I think it's near the
- 11 groove, this area from there.
- Well, let me ask you this way, maybe we can do it just to 12
- 13 jump ahead for a quick second. From the site where you now
- 14 know you had the neuroma --
- I think it was below it. 15 Α.
- 16 It's below the neuroma where they're putting the
- 17 electrodes?
- 18 I believe. Α.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 I wanted to say one thing about the meeting that I had with
- 21 Dr. --
- 22 Q. Yes, I was going to come back to that now. Could you tell
- 23 us what you wanted us to know about the January 1993 meeting
- 24 that you had with Dr. Strauch?
- 25 During this meeting I told Dr. Strauch, I questioned

Dr. Strauch if the nerve was being transposed how the neurologist can do the EMG the way it was being done to me for the last, for the prior EMG's, because if the nerve was being transposed and you put an electrode there, you're not going to get any result because the nerve is not supposed to be there.

At this moment Dr. Strauch took his office notes or book, my chart, he look at the chart and he said to me, I do not know. Based on my chart, you did not have a transposition. But based on the surgical report you did have a transposition.

I said to him, "So what really happened?"

He said, if I'm -- I do not remember word by word exactly, but my understanding from all the conversations that I had with him that something happened in the OR and he start to stumble with the conversation and then he told me, he start to do, took my hand, start to do examination, changed the subject totally and he told me what he told me about next time I should come to him only if I do the EMG.

But I still at this point, I do not know if done, not done or what happened in the OR during my surgery.

(Continued next page)

10

BY MR. DINHOFER:

- From January of '93 to the present date, have you ever 2 3 returned to Dr. Strauch for your left arm?
- 4 A. For my left, no. I went to him when I have problem with my 5 right hand, carpal tunnel syndrome because of the stress I put
- 6 on my hand as a result that over use it because of my 7 condition.
- Q. And why at this point in time did you go see Dr. Strauch, 8 9 having had all the experiences that you had with him?
 - I didn't hear the question, sorry. Α.
- 11 I'm sorry. You knew there was something wrong with your 12 left side and Dr. Strauch wasn't being straight forward with
- 13 you. Is there a reason why you went to see him for the right?
- 14 Α. Why?
- Yeah. 15 Q.
- Because what my thought at that time, I do not -- not 16 17 exact. But I know that I went to him to give him another 18 chance, maybe during this examination he will do something, he will tell me the truth what happened in the OR, because I 19 20 really wanted to know what going on in OR. I had Doctor that I 21 saw that told me that I have injury --
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: The objection is to form or --
- 24 MR. HEUBEL: The Doctor that told me.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. HEUBEL: Sounded like another Doctor told me, Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah, if you're going to -- please don't testify as to what another doctor might have said, but if you testify to your own.

MR. DINHOFER: I think he's talking about what he said he's communicating to the doctor in the course of treatment.

THE COURT: If you're communicating to your doctor, you can testify to that.

- The question is why I went back to him.
- 11 When was that that you went back to him, first of 12 all?
- 13 I went back to him in October, and last visit with Dr.
- 14 Strauch.
- O. October of '93? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- And between October of '93 and January of '93, about how 17
- 18 many different doctors did you see with regard to your left
- 19 arm?
- 20 I saw one, two, three -- I think three doctors.
- 21 Okay. Q.
- 22 Α. Or so.
- 23 Do you know who they were? 0.
- 24 Α. The first one was Dr. Spinner.
- 25 Who is the next doctor? Okay.

- Giladi direct
- 1 Α. The next doctor was Dr. Beasley.
- Okay. Who is the next doctor? 2 Q.
- 3 I think I went back to doctor -- I think I saw somebody in
- Israel, Doctor Ester Lipsker or something like that? 4
- 5 Q. Okay.
- I think so. I saw doctor -- also I did not see him, but we 6
- 7 were discussing things at the office, Doctor Goodrich -- Doctor
- Kantowitz, he's a neurologist that I'm working with, I work 8
- 9 with him very heavily.
- 10 Q. So we got Kantowitz. Did you see Dr. Goodrich during this
- 11 time?
- 12 I saw him in May, I believe so.
- 13 Okay. And does the name Dr. Beasley refresh your
- 14 recollection?
- 15 Α. I say say him in, I saw Beasley in, I believe in April.
- Okay. So in the span of roughly ten months from January of 16
- '93 to October of '93, when you went back to Dr. Strauch? 17
- 18 Sorry. I saw Dr. Rousso also. Α.
- 19 Dr. Rousso too, okay. You saw about six different doctors,
- 20 seven different doctors that you mentioned?
- 21 Something like five, six. Α.
- 22 Okay. Let's go through some of these doctors then that you Q.
- 23 saw. One that you saw was a Dr. Spinner?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 MR. DINHOFER: Okay. And I didn't know if we formally

Giladi - direct

- moved it, but we had it marked for identification and agree 1 it's in evidence as Exhibit B, defendant's B.? It's Dr. 2
- 3 Spinner's records?
- 4 MR. HEUBEL: So stipulated.
- 5 MR. DINHOFER: In evidence.
- 6 Had you seen Dr. Spinner prior to seeing him in 1993? 0.
 - Yes, I saw him after my '87 surgery.
- 8 Okay. And what part, if any, did Dr. Spinner play in your 9 recovery from the 1987 surgery?
- 10 He was dealing with the bicep hematoma that I had and the 11 effects from it and from the median nerve.
- 12 Okay. And what kind of treatment did he give you back in 13 **'**87?
- 14 A. Physical therapy.
- 15 Q. And was the physical therapy that Dr. Spinner sent you for
- back in '87 for the injury that you had to the branch of the 16
- 17 median nerve, was that successful?
- 18 Α. Yes.

- 19 And you saw him in 1993? Q.
- 20 Only for one visit. Α.
- 21 Okay. I show you on the screen now the report of Dr. Q.
- 22 Spinner. When you saw Dr. Spinner, did he test your grip
- 23 strength?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And the report indicates he used a dynamometer, is that

- 1 | correct; he tested your grip strength with a dynamometer?
- 2 A. Yes. He use it like five or six times, not only once. He
- 3 | did back and forth, back and forth back, and for the long time.
 - Q. Okay. And did he do it for grip and for pinch for the
- 5 | different muscles that he was testing?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 THE COURT: Mr. Dinhofer, is this in evidence?
- 8 MR. DINHOFER: Yes, it is.
 - THE COURT: And what is this?
- 10 MR. DINHOFER: This is from -- we just moved it into
- 11 | evidence, this is defendant's B.
- 12 | THE COURT: Defendant's exhibit B?
- 13 MR. DINHOFER: Yeah.
- 14 | THE COURT: And this is -- there is no objection to
- 15 | this?
- MR. HEUBEL: Stipulated.
- MR. DINHOFER: By stipulation, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay, it's received in evidence.
- 19 (Defendant's Exhibit B received in evidence)
- 20 | THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
- 21 MR. DINHOFER: Okay, officially do that. I'm sorry.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And where Dr. Spinner tells you -- states, rather --
- 23 | where is it -- that there was a neuroma -- where did I see it?
- 24 Oh, there it is. Neuroma of the medial cutaneous nerve of the
- 25 | forearm, did he communicate that information to you?

- 1 A. The thing that I -- that what he say -- I'm sorry. The
- 2 only thing what been told neuroma, I did not -- when he was
- 3 touching here, my understanding is he feeling a neuroma.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then he said, towards the end -- did he
- 5 communicate this to you -- that you would need a sub-muscular
- 6 transposition of the ulnar nerve?
- 7 A. Yes, he did.
- 8 | Q. And did you have any response to that?
- 9 A. If I have any response?
- 10 | Q. To Dr. Spinner when he told you that you would need a
- 11 | transposition?
- 12 A. I ask him why I need to have a transposition if I had one
- 13 | already.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And were you satisfied with the response that Dr.
- 15 | Spinner gave you to that question?
- 16 A. I was confused by it.
- 17 | Q. Did Dr. Spinner make any plans to do surgery on you?
- 18 A. He per se, no.
- 19 THE COURT: He what?
- 20 | Q. He didn't make plans on you?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 | A. I was best on the information I should go to have surgery
- 24 | to seek for surgery, but he, himself, did not want to do the
- 25 | surgery.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

25

MR. HEUBEL: Objection, ask that be stricken; again testifying as to what was going on in the mind of Dr. Spinner.

MR. DINHOFER: I don't believe that's at all what he said about his state of mind, your Honor. He's just stating as a matter of fact he didn't want to do the surgery.

MR. HEUBEL: I don't understand the difference, Judge.

MR. DINHOFER: I asked him if he had plans, and this is what the plan was. This is not a statement of the doctor.

THE COURT: Well, I'll allow it.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge --

MR. DINHOFER: Thank you, your Honor.

- Okay. I think the next doctor you mentioned in sequence would be Dr. Kantowitz?
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - 0. And can you tell us who is Dr. Kantowitz?
- Dr. Kantowitz is a neurologist, neurosurgeon who I know for 16 17 many many years during my affiliation with the Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Montefiore Medical Center. 18
- And what did Dr. Kantowitz do for you? 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 I told Dr. Kantowitz that I'm totally confused, I do not 21 know any more if transposition was being done or not done. I 22 believe my surgeon, the transposition was being done. But my 23 physician also -- my surgeon also told me that the possibility was not done. I see other doctors who told me the 24
 - transposition was not done. I said to him, do you mind to

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

confirm it for me with an MRI, so once for all I will get an answer where my nerve is. He say no problem. I will have answer. I told him that they, there is a suspicion that I have a neuroma in the area. He say, if this is the case, we need to do an MRI with contrast. So he scheduled for me an MRI with

6 contrast.

> And when I went to do the MRI, somehow after discussing between the radiologist and Dr. Kantowitz, the contrast was being removed from the prescriptions.

- Okay. We have in evidence as plaintiff's exhibit number seven, MRI films. Is this the MRI that Dr. Kantowitz sent you to have?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 And as part of plaintiff's Exhibit one, the hospital 15 record, we have the report of the radiologist?
- 16 Yes, yeah. Α.
- 17 Is that the report that you were familiar with from the 18 radiologist regarding the MRI that you had back in --
- 19 Α. March 3rd, '93.
- 20 Thank you for finding the date. 0.
- 21 Yes. Α.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 I also -- Dr. Kantowitz show me the -- after he received 24 the MRI, showed me the MRI, and he point to two pictures.
- 25 MR. HEUBEL: Judge -- withdrawn.

Case 1:11-cv-08757-PGG Document 49 Filed 01/10/12 Page 36 of 170

1CDZGIL2 Giladi - direct

1 A. Which is CAT number I think 8 13 and 1313.

- Q. 8 of 13 and 13 of 13?
- A. Yes.

2

3

- Q. Yeah.
- A. And when I was looking at that, it was some fat around the
- 6 | nerves, which was unclear why, some thickness -- I'm not a
- 7 | neurologist, I can not, but just two picture was important for
- 8 him to show me.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- THE COURT: Would this be a good time for a quick
- 11 break.
- 12 MR. DINHOFER: Sure.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay, let's do a five minute break.
- 14 (Recess)
- 15 (Continued on next page)
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

25

1 (In open court; jury not present) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge entering. 2 3 THE COURT: Bring back the jury. 4 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, can I ask, before the jury comes 5 in, that when documents are up in his testimony, that's fine, 6 but that they not be --no testimony about that particular 7 document going on, we're going to overly emphasize something, that shouldn't remain up on the screen. 8 9 So I'm asking that when counsel is finished with the 10 document --11 THE COURT: Yes, that's true. When you're finished --12 MR. DINHOFER: I'm trying to do that. 13 THE COURT: When you're finished talking about a 14 document, remove it from the screen, that's right. 15 MR. DINHOFER: I cover them, uncover. I move them 16 back and forth. It's just --17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. DINHOFER: In the moment you don't pay particular detail. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering. 22 THE COURT: You may be seated. 23 (Continued on next page)

1CDZGIL2

THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Dinhofer. 1

- MR. DINHOFER: Thank you, your Honor. 2
- 3 Q. Okay. So after the MRI's with Dr. Kantowitz, I believe the
- 4 next in your sequence is a Dr. Beasley?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 Can you tell us who Dr. Beasley is?
- 7 He's a surgeon from NYU, if I'm not mistaken.
- Okay. What kind of surgeon? 8 Q.
- 9 Upper extremity. Α.
- 10 Okay. And do you recall when it was that you presented to Q.
- 11 Dr. Beasley?
- 12 Α. Sometime in April.
- 13 Okay, April of '93? Q.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- MR. DINHOFER: At this time, your Honor, I'd like to 16
- 17 offer in evidence the records of Dr. Beasley. I believe it's
- 18 two pages?
- 19 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 20 MR. HEUBEL: Let me just see them, Judge. Can we
- 21 approach, Judge.
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 (Continued on next page)
- 24
- 25

1 (At the side bar) MR. HEUBEL: I do have an objection to the admission 2 3 of these documents, just copies of could have been subpoenaed. 4 Apparently they weren't subpoenaed. 5 THE COURT: They were? 6 MR. HEUBEL: Not subpoenaed, as far as I know. 7 THE COURT: Were they produced to you, to defendants? MR. HEUBEL: Yeah. We've had them during discovery. 8 9 THE COURT: So what's the nature of the objection? 10 MR. HEUBEL: Objection is they're not authenticated. 11 MR. DINHOFER: They're no different than any of the 12 other records, none of them authentication that we've taken so 13 far, we've been doing it by stipulation. 14 MR. HEUBEL: Right. 15 THE COURT: And why didn't you stipulate to this? MR. HEUBEL: Because I don't want to stipulate to it. 16 17 MR. DINHOFER: Because it's good for me, obviously. 18 MR. HEUBEL: I don't know that's terribly, but --19 MR. DINHOFER: Well, never give the other guy what he 20 wants I quess. 21 THE COURT: Well, is it, is there a question about the 22 authentication? 23 MR. HEUBEL: I don't know. How would we know?

Dr. Beasley is the partner of Dr. Grad, their former expert,

MR. DINHOFER: The interesting thing, Judge, is this

24

and I don't think there would be any authentication issue, because that would be challenging their own expert on that basis.

MR. HEUBEL: That's absurd.

MR. DINHOFER: There is no authentication here. was one page of notes that he did an examination, and he referred him to, according to his letter, back to Benise He thought the case was too complicated. Lester.

MR. HEUBEL: Maybe there are other pages.

MR. DINHOFER: It's really not a complex record, I don't plan on spending too much time with it.

MR. HEUBEL: The amount of time is irrelevant. It's not a certified copy.

MR. DINHOFER: There's never been a challenge to the authenticity in any of the pretrial orders.

MR. HEUBEL: We didn't agree to the authenticity of any documents in the pretrial order.

MR. DINHOFER: Challenge --

THE COURT: Well, without authentication, I'm afraid I can't admit it.

MR. DINHOFER: Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

1CDZGIL2

1 (In open court)

- Q. When you saw Dr. Beasley, can you tell us what he did for you?
- A. Like with the other doctors, he took a history and he did a physical examinations.

During the physical examinations, after almost the end of physical examination, he was -- for some reason he stopped and went to call one of his colleague, his partners, to consult with him about my condition.

Q. Were you seen by this colleague?

MR. HEUBEL: Objection, Judge. May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Continued on next page)

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(At the side bar)

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I have -- my objection is based upon discussion with prior counsel where he told me that -- this is during the course of this trial -- that what I anticipate him saying is that Dr. Grad, who was the defense prior expert, now unavailable, was the person who walked into the room while they were there. And I see no relevance to that, and extreme prejudice in that.

MR. DINHOFER: It's a fact of what occurred, and he's entitled to say the facts of what occurred. The fact that that fact may prove embarrassing to you in some way is not an objection.

THE COURT: Was Dr. Grad part of the examination?

MR. DINHOFER: Yes.

THE COURT: And treatment?

MR. DINHOFER: Yes. He's going to say just that, Dr.

17 | Grad --

THE COURT: We did have reading testimony from Dr. Grad.

MR. HEUBEL: This is what he's going to say. He didn't know who he was in the room with him, but apparently at Dr. Grad's deposition he was there and he said, now I recognize the guy who came in. And what is the relevance of Dr. Grad walking into the room, except Dr. Grad is unavailable to respond or for me to have him respond to anything that's said?

MR. DINHOFER: That's just a fact that would have occurred no matter what, and that's a fact of what occurred. And he's just describing the facts of what occurred. Dr. Beasley called another doctor into for consultation, and he's going to testify to what happened.

MR. HEUBEL: Yeah, what's he going to say?

MR. DINHOFER: You'll hear it when he says it.

THE COURT: Is he going to talk about Dr. Grad?

MR. DINHOFER: He's not going to say anything that Dr. Grad said to him or anything. He's just going to say that this man came in, he examined, they consulted, and he subsequently learned at the deposition, he saw him, he put it together.

Just as he said. Because this is not a surprise. He testified to this at his deposition, that he tied it together, that this was the same Dr. Grad who examined him years later, and that's

MR. HEUBEL: Well, Dr. Grad didn't examine him till years later -- Dr. Grad was an expert.

it, and that's as far as it goes.

MR. DINHOFER: At the deposition, I'm sorry, saw him at the deposition. I'm sorry, you're correct, he learned about him years later is what I meant to say.

MR. HEUBEL: There's nothing relevant probative in that exchange, but it does cast a cloud that I cannot dispel, because I don't have that witness, he's not unavailable because of anything that the defense did.

THE COURT: We don't know why he's unavailable.

MR. DINHOFER: But it's a fact of what occurred. He's entitled to --

MR. HEUBEL: It adds nothing except prejudice.

MR. DINHOFER: No, it's a fact that there was a consultation and who it was who did that consultation at that time, and that's all its stands for.

THE COURT: I don't think it's so prejudicial that it substantially outweighs any affect. We did have reading, read testimony from Dr. Grad, so I am going to overrule the objection.

MR. DINHOFER: Thank you, your Honor.

(Continued on next page)

24

(In open court)

- 2 So you told us that Dr. Beasley consulted with someone
- 3 else?

- Yes, I believe. 4 Α.
- 5 Did that person come into the examination room?
- Yes, he did. 6 Α.
- 7 And did that person conduct an examination of you?
- Α. 8 Yes.
- 9 At the time when you saw that person, did you know who that
- 10 person was?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Did there come a time when you later learned who that
- 13 person was?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. When was it that you learned who this person was?
- When he underwent depositions, you did a deposition of him. 16 Α.
- 17 Who was the person? Q.
- 18 Dr. Grad. Α.
- 19 Can you tell us about the physical examination that Dr.
- 20 Beasley performed?
- 21 He did strength by equipment, if I'm not mistaken.
- 22 When you say "strength by equipment," do you mean he used
- 23 the dynamometer?
- 24 Yes, he use it, and then his partner repeat it again.
- 25 Dr. Grad also used the dynamometer?

- Giladi direct
- I believe both of them did it, confirm it. 1 Α.
- Okay. What else did their physical exam consist of? 2 Q.
- 3 They did also, I think he did some sensation test and. Α.
- 4 Did he use any equipment for the sensation test? Q.
- 5 Think he used needles. Α.
- 6 Needles, okay. Did he actually pierce your skin with the
- 7 needles?
- He went and he, he put needles, told me when I -- to tell 8
- 9 him -- to close my eyes and to tell me when I feel it, when I
- 10 don't feel it, something like that.
- 11 It wasn't breaking skin with them, he was just using it for
- 12 sensory testing?
- 13 That's correct. Α.
- 14 Okay. Anything else that he did? Q.
- This is the major thing that I recall. 15 Α.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 He did a full examination of the hand. Α.
- 18 Did you bring any EMG's with you to Dr. Beasley? Q.
- 19 I may -- I believe so I did, but I can not testify to that Α.
- 20 at the moment.
- 21 Okay. Did Dr. Beasley treat you? Q.
- 22 No. He refer me to, refer me to different doctor. Α.
- 23 Okay, what doctor was that? 0.
- 24 Doctor Lipsker, somebody at the New York, New York near my
- 25 office.

- 1 Q. Would the name Benise Lester refresh your recollection?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 | Q. Is that who Dr. Beasley referred you to?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, I think you said next in line in May of '93 you
- 6 returned to Dr. Goodrich?
- 7 A. No. I believe -- I'm not sure, but I think when I was in
- 8 Israel in the April for short period of time, I saw -- she's a
- 9 | friend of mine, but she's also hand surgeon, upper extremity
- 10 surgeon, she's a head of the upper extremity department at
- 11 Kaplan.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let's go back one second, though, before we get
- 13 | there.
- Did you see Dr. Goodrich in August of '92?
- 15 A. August of 1992? I do not recall.
- 16 Q. Okay. If I show you the following letter from Dr.
- Goodrich, part of exhibit 12, dated August 10th of 1992 by Dr.
- 18 Goodrich, would that refresh your recollection as to whether or
- 19 | not you saw him back then?
- 20 | A. I could saw him, I could give -- I called him to tell him I
- 21 | need something to confirm for what I need, something like that.
- 22 | Q. This might have been a note without a visit?
- 23 A. I cannot, I cannot testify to that. I don't have any
- 24 recollection at the moment.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Well, how about this note of May of '93, does that

- 1 | refresh your recollection that you saw him in May of '93?
- 2 A. It's clear from the note that he saw me on May 11, 1993.
- 3 Q. Okay. And where Dr. Goodrich says in -- and this is again
- 4 part of exhibit 12, your Honor -- he continues to have
- 5 progressive loss of neurological function following the ulnar
- 6 | nerve involved motor and sensory distribution, did Dr. Goodrich
- 7 communicate that information to you?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And did you complain to him about pain in the nerve
- 10 upon being touched in the elbow?
- 11 A. I complained to him the same complaint I had with him the
- 12 | first visit, about sharp pain in my elbow, unable to be around
- 13 people. Because if anybody would touch my elbow, I will jump,
- 14 and have a lot of discomfort, difficulty on my job, my ability,
- 15 | my inability to perform my job I used to before my surgery, and
- 16 the concern was that I'm, that I'm deteriorating slowly.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Where it says, that considering his EMG findings,
- 18 which have shown a loss of conduction velocity and amplitude,
- 19 along with clinical findings which show a progression and
- 20 | neurological deterioration, did Dr. Goodrich communicate that
- 21 information to you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Which EMG's was he referring to?
- 24 A. Dr. Sadeh, if I'm not mistaken.
- 25 | Q. Dr. Sadeh?

2

3

MR. HEUBEL: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Did you present any EMG's to Dr. Goodrich for review?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Which EMGs did you present to Dr. Goodrich for review?
- 6 A. Dr. Sadeh.
- 7 | Q. Okay, the one of December '92?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Where Dr. Goodrich says that he very definitely needs an
- 10 ulnar nerve exploration to rule out whether or not this is an
- 11 | injury to the nerve or whether or not he has developed some
- 12 | type of scar or neuroma, did Dr. Goodrich convey this
- 13 | information to you?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And where it says, all of these should be done in the very
- 16 near future, did he convey that to you?
- 17 | A. Yes. He told me that I should do it as soon as possible.
- 18 | But when I asked him about the result of the surgery if should
- 19 be, if I will have any relief or it will be the way used to be
- 20 | before the surgery the possibility was very -- was not, was no
- 21 guarantee that I will be the way, because it was too long, too
- 22 | long before, after the surgery.
- MR. HEUBEL: Objection.
- 24 | THE COURT: Is it a hearsay objection?
- MR. HEUBEL: Yeah, what Dr. Goodrich said to him.

- 1 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. HEUBEL: And I ask be stricken. 2
- 3 THE COURT: Yeah, the witness should disregard
- statements by Dr. Goodrich as reported by the witness. 4
- Q. Okay. In May of '93, did you have occasion to go back to 5 6 Israel?
- 7 Yes, I did. Α.
- And when you were in Israel in May of '93, did you consult 8 9 with any doctors?
- 10 Α. Dr. Rousso.
- 11 And who is Dr. Rousso?
- 12 Α. Dr. Rousso.
- 13 Not that we haven't seen him already, but I'm asking you Ο. 14 for your knowledge?
- A. Dr. Rousso is a well known doctor in Israel, he's a plastic 15 surgeon. He's a reconstruction and upper extremity physician 16
- 17 who held a lot of academic positions in Israel, and he's very
- acceptable in the medical, in the medical community in Israel. 18
- And did you consult with Dr. Rousso in May of '93? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Can you tell me what occurred on the occasion of your first 22 visit with Dr. Rousso in May of '93?
- 23 The procedure between Israel to United States, he did not
- 24 have forms that I need to list everything I had prior to my
- 25 visit with him, or what is my complaint or other stuff when you

- walked into the room. He just ask me questions, he take notes. 1
- And when I went to the room, he asked me what he can do for me, 2
- 3 why I'm here.
- 4 Okay. When you saw Dr. Rousso in May of '93, did you give Q.
- him a history? 5
- 6 I told him why he asked me why I'm here, and I told him I'm
- 7 here for my elbow.
- 8 Q. Did you tell him about the history of surgery that you had
- 9 to your left arm?
- 10 I may did, I may didn't.
- The issue was not relevant at the time. We were more 11
- 12 concerned about what happened in the elbow and why I have pain
- 13 in my elbow, and what is the cause for the pain of my elbow,
- 14 when the pain started, if ever I had any injury to my elbow.
- 15 He went back to since I was a kid, if I ever had crush, if I
- had any problem with my elbow. He's concerned because I came 16
- 17 to him for my elbow and he want to make sure that I'm giving
- 18 him everything and whatever happened to me to my elbow.
- 19 Just so I'm clear for a second, from the 1987 surgery that
- 20 you had on the sensory branch of the median nerve at the
- 21 wrist --
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 -- is there a scar on your wrist from that surgery? 0.
- 24 Α. It's big scar, big scar in the area.
- 25 Is that a different scar from the scars from the surgery Q.

- that you had on your wrist for the surgery that was performed 1
- by Dr. Strauch and Sterman? 2
- 3 Totally different location. Α.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 Α. I believe.
- So you were complaining to Dr. Rousso about your elbow? 6 0.
- 7 That's correct. Α.
- And can you tell us what occurred? 8 Q.
- 9 I told Dr. Rousso that I underwent surgery on Α.
- 10 December 12th, 1991 by Dr. Strauch. I explained to him that
- 11 prior to surgery I never complained about any problem with my
- 12 elbow, that I never had any injury to my elbow, and my elbow
- 13 was a virgin area for any disease, except to the entrapment or
- 14 any crush or any injury.
- Okay. Did you present with complaints of pain? 15 Q.
- Yes, this what I'm going to next. 16 Α.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 And I told him that upon waking from surgery, I had a sharp
- pain at the area of the elbow, at the -- near the bones there. 19
- 20 He's indicating the back side of his left elbow. 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 And since this moment from waking up from surgery, I
- 23 am, I'm having difficulty function with my hands, I have pain,
- 24 I have sensations. And I also explain to him that I had an
- 25 ulnar transposition, that's the information I received from my

- Giladi direct
- physician, my surgeon, and I would like him to evaluate me and 1 2 tell me if he can help me to let me know what is the cause for
- the pain. 3

- Did Dr. Rousso perform an examination on the occasion of 4 Q.
- 5 the first visit?
- Yes, he did. 6 Α.
 - And can you tell us what his examination consisted of?
- If I'm not mistaken, he did -- I don't know the first time 8
- 9 or the second time he did strength, he did sensation and
- 10 confirmation of the location of the nerve.
- 11 Okay. Did Dr. Rousso touch you?
- 12 Α. Yes, he touch me.
- 13 Where did he touch you? Ο.
- 14 At the elbow. Α.
- 15 Q. Did you return to Dr. Rousso after the first visit?
- Yes, because he told me that my story doesn't make sense. 16
- 17 He said to me -- that's all right. And I had to confirm to him
- 18 that the transposition was being made, so I had to go back home
- 19 to bring the surgical report to him.
- 20 Q. And did you provide Dr. Rousso with your surgical report
- 21 from Montefiore Medical Center?
- 22 I provide him with, if I'm not mistaken, I provided him
- 23 with two EMG's and surgical report.
- 24 Okay. And the surgical report would be the same one that
- 25 we have here in Exhibit one, the three pages of the operative

1CDZGIL2

report?

1

- 2 Yeah, one, one preop and one postop.
- 3 No, no, the surgical report itself, not the EMG's.
- Yeah, the one from --4 Α.
- 5 The one from the Montefiore Medical Center that's in
- 6 evidence?
- 7 Α. Yes.
 - Okay. And then you brought him a preop EMG. Would that
- 9 have been Dr. Berger's EMG?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 And you brought him a postop EMG, would that have been Dr.
- 12 Sadeh's EMG?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Did you treat with Dr. Rousso at that point in time? Q.
- I went to him for --15 Α.
- MR. HEUBEL: Objection to the form, Judge. 16
- 17 MR. DINHOFER: Treatment at that time.
- 18 THE COURT: Is it just clarification?
- MR. HEUBEL: Yeah, I'm not sure what that means. 19
- 20 THE COURT: Yeah, if you could rephrase it to make it
- 21 exactly clearer what the question is.
- 22 Q. Okay. Did Dr. Rousso give you any specific treatment at
- 23 that time?
- 24 A. Besides the examination, evaluation and the diagnosis
- 25 potential what he think is the problem and what I should do,

1 nothing.

- Did he provide you with a written report at that time? Q.
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 What did you do with that written report? Q.
- 5 I believe I fax, I fax it to you. Α.
- 6 Faxed it to me. Had you spoken to me prior to seeing Dr.
- 7 Rousso?
- I believe after Dr. Spinner, I realized that I need to 8
- 9 consult with some, somebody about my right, and this how I came
- 10 to you.
- 11 MR. HEUBEL: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last part of
- 12 that.
- 13 I came, I was --Α.
- 14 MR. HEUBEL: Could you read it back, please?
- I believe Dr. Spinner, I realize that I 15 THE COURT:
- need to consult with somebody about my right, and this how I 16
- 17 came to you.
- And did I request that you obtain a report from Dr. Rousso? 18
- You told me that in order for you to know if anything wrong 19
- 20 happened to me, I need to provide you with a written
- 21 documentation that something like that happened to me.
- 22 Q. For legal requirements, did I explain to you for legal
- 23 requirements for the purposes of commencing a medical
- 24 malpractice report, or lawsuit, the law of the State of New
- 25 York required that you have a report from a physician attesting

- to the fact that there was some kind of malpractice?
- 2 Α. Yes.

- 3 And is that the purpose why you went to Dr. Rousso at that
- 4 time, to get that report?
- A. As I said before, it was combination of two. I was looking 5
- 6 for somebody who was willing to treat me, because up to that
- 7 moment the two doctors I saw, Dr. Spinner and Dr. Beasley, was
- not going to. And based on my assumption from my condition, I 8
- 9 cannot go to any doctors to take care of the problem, I need to
- 10 go to somebody who very expert in the field, and this what I
- 11 was doing. And Dr. Rousso is internationally known as an upper
- 12 extremity surgeon, and I thought he's one the people who may be
- 13 able to help me to my condition.
- 14 Q. Now, after you saw Dr. Rousso, did you return back to the
- United States? 15
- 16 Yes, I did. Α.
- 17 Okay. And did you return back to work in May of '93? Ο.
- 18 Yes, I did. Α.
- And did something occur at work in June of '93? 19
- 20 Yes. I was June 30th, 1993, I was being -- my job at that
- 21 day was to videotape something. For that purpose, I had to
- 22 rent a camera, professional camera.
- 23 You had to rent the camera, is that what you said?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Okay, continue. Q.

A. And to bring the camera to the office, I got help from a colleague at work.

When I was going to return the camera, for some reason my boss did not want to give me help. And he told me, this my responsibility and I should be able to handle the job on my own.

I explained that my medical condition is not so that I can do it by myself. And he informed me that he cannot provide me with any help, because other people busy at the moment and they have their own job and I should do my job.

- Q. And did you try and do the job?
- 12 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Is that something that we can say that you do to this day, you try and do things with your left arm?
 - A. I, since the moment I returned to work, even though my condition was not permit me to work with my hand the way I used to, I really pushed myself very hard, to act like I don't have any problem. It's even up to today I'm trying to use my hand to do a lot of things that it's hard for me to do. I'm trying not to feel disabled. I'm trying to feel like everybody. I'm trying to feel like I felt before the surgery. It's hard.
 - Q. Okay. So what occurred at work back on June 30th of '93?
 - A. I put the camera in a protecting box, which has wheels on the bottom. I rolled the box from my office to the loading dock at the place where I work. I opened the trunk and I tried

- 1 to put the camera into the trunk. While I'm struggling to put
- 2 | the camera, the box into the trunk, I felt like the hood of the
- 3 | trunk touched my elbow, give me electric shock to my hand. I
- 4 got like shock. I jumped, and this one point I was trying to
- 5 protect the box from falling, I tried to balance, and with all
- 6 that, I hurt my back.
- 7 Q. And did you make a -- did you later come to make a workers
- 8 compensation claim with regard to your back?
- 9 A. Not immediately.
- 10 Q. Well, later you did?
- 11 A. When I stopped working, and I realize that I'm not going to
- 12 | be able to get any income from my work, I was being told that
- 13 | the only way I can be compensated is by filing a claim through
- 14 workmen comp.
- 15 | Q. And at the time when you made that workers compensation
- 16 claim for your back, did you also make workers compensation
- 17 | claims for the carpal tunnel pain that you were having in your
- 18 hands?
- 19 A. No, I did -- I filed -- I filed one by myself. And in this
- 20 | form I put regular that I hurt my back, and I hurt my hand.
- 21 And for me, hands -- and also if you heard Dr. Rousso yesterday
- 22 was talking about when he said from the elbow to the hands in
- 23 | Israel, I did not know, but in Israel we talk hands this
- 24 section of the hand, we don't -- we don't say from here to
- 25 | here -- from shoulder to fingers hand. We, we divide the hand

Case 1:11-cv-08757-PGG Document 49 Filed 01/10/12 Page 59 of 170 886

1CDZGIL2 Giladi - direct

to like six or seven parts. We have the hand, the wrist, the front hands, elbow, upper arm, and shoulder.

- Q. Okay. Now, did you ever see the Dr. Benise Lester that you were referred to by Dr. Beasley?
- A. Yes, I saw her in August after my injury, my back injury. (Continued on next page)

- Do you recall when it is that you saw Benise Lester?
- Sometime in August of '93. 2 Α.
- 3 And just so we're clear, Benise Lester, is that a man or a
- 4 woman?

- 5 She's a female. Α.
- Female, okay. And can you tell us what occurred with 6
- 7 regard to the visit with Benise Lester?
- Gave her the history, she did examination, and I got pain 8
- 9 medication, I believe, from her. And she did some diagnosis.
- 10 Did you continue to treat with Dr. Lester? Ο.
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Is there a reason you didn't treat with Dr. Lester?
- 13 Because the direction she's going, she's going in the Α.
- 14 direction of RSD which I did not have, I had no symptom of RSD.
- What is RSD? 15 Q.
- 16 Reflex sympathetic syndrome.
- 17 By the way, had any doctor ever prescribed any appliances Q.
- 18 for you to wear on your arm?
- I had two doctors, one in '92, Spinner, and when this was 19
- 20 damaged, Dr. Goodrich provide me with another one.
- 21 Did you bring that appliance here with you today?
- 22 Α. Yes, I did.
- 23 MR. DINHOFER: I believe we're up to Exhibit 16 on
- 24 plaintiff's part.

25

I'll show you what's been marked for identification as

Giladi - direct

- Plaintiff's Exhibit 16. Can you tell us what that is? 1
- This is what's called a Cook splint that I had to wear. 2 Α.
- 3 And who gave you the splint?
- As I said, the first one was given to me if I'm not 4 Α.
- 5 mistaken, by Dr. Spinner and the second one by Dr. Goodrich.
- 6 Did you wear this splint during the course of your
- 7 rehabilitation that you underwent after the surgery in December
- of 1991? 8
- 9 This one I used when I was going around people or when I
- 10 was asleep.
- 11 MR. DINHOFER: I offer this in evidence, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Counsel?
- 13 MR. HEUBEL: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: It's admitted. Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 is
- admitted. 15
- (Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 received in evidence) 16
- 17 Q. Were there any other types of appliances, splints or
- braces --18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 -- and such that you were prescribed to you by the various
- 21 doctors that you had seen?
- 22 A. Yes. I had one that was foam from Dr. Rousso. This one
- 23 was for a daily basis.
- 24 Okay, that comes later on. Up to this point I'm talking
- 25 about.

1CDFGIL3

- Giladi direct
- 1 A. No, it was in May. Dr. Rousso was in May '93.
- 2 | Q. In May he told you to wear a foam splint?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 | Q. Do you have that splint?
- 5 A. No, this one is -- deteriorate from sweating and all this
- 6 stuff and every time I had to get a new one.
- 7 Q. How many different foam braces did you have to use due to
- 8 deterioration from sweat?
- 9 A. I couldn't count, but it was many.
- 10 | O. It was several?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And what portion of your arm did these foam braces cover?
- 13 A. I had for the injury to my elbow, I did, at the area of the
- 14 elbow. For the carpal tunnel I had in my wrist one hand wrist.
- 15 Q. The brace that you used for your wrist for carpal tunnel
- were those preformed Ace bandage type splints?
- 17 A. No. This was you buy from the pharmacy, you have a metal
- 18 bar in the middle, under, on the lower part.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . On the side of the palm?
- 20 A. On the side of the palm, to have your hand in a position,
- 21 one position, and then the rest is the material.
- 22 | Q. Is that what was called a cockup splint?
- 23 A. Could be.
- 24 | Q. And that was for the carpal tunnel?
- 25 A. It's correct.

- Giladi direct
- 1 Did there come a time when you planned on having surgery
- with Dr. Goodrich? 2
- 3 A. Yes, was in I think in October we spoke about surgery, with
- Dr. Goodrich about surgery. 4
- 5 Q. And if I show you Dr. Goodrich's report from Exhibit 12 in
- evidence of October 26, 1993, does that refresh your 6
- 7 recollection as to when you spoke with Dr. Goodrich more or
- less about surgery? 8
- 9 Look like the end of October.
- 10 And did you have a plan to undergo surgery with
- 11 Dr. Goodrich?
- At the time, yes. 12
- 13 And for what purpose were you planning on having that
- 14 surgery?
- 15 Α. I want to have relief from the pain.
- 16 Did you in fact have surgery with Dr. Goodrich?
- I couldn't. 17 Α.
- 18 Is there a reason why you couldn't?
- 19 MR. HEUBEL: Objection. To the extent it calls for
- 20 something Dr. Goodrich told him.
- 21 THE COURT: You may answer if it's not reflecting what
- 22 Dr. Goodrich told you.
- 23 Can you tell us the reason why you didn't have surgery with
- 24 Dr. Goodrich?
- 25 If I did have surgery with Dr. Goodrich, I have to pay for

- the surgery from my own pocket.
- 2 Why is that? Q.
- 3 A. Because I was considered, my employment was ended on
- 4 August 12, I believe in this area and I find out by
- 5 mid-September I lost my medical benefits.
- 6 Q. Do I understand you to say that after you hurt your back in
- 7 June 30th of 1993 you never returned to work?
- A. No, I worked between June 30th, 1993, the next day and 8
- 9 July 1 I saw the doctors. I was in and out. I was really
- 10 attempting to continue with my work. On August 12 the doctor
- 11 put me on total disability, so I had to stop working.
- 12 That was because of your back?
- 13 Because of my back and also I had swelling in my hand here. Α.
- 14 Is that related to your --Q.
- 15 MR. HEUBEL: I'm sorry, Judge, is that referring to
- 16 the right hand?
- 17 You were indicating your right hand, correct?
- 18 Indicating right hands and also -- I had right hand and
- swelling on both hands because when I tried to protect 19
- 20 equipment it hurt both my hands.
- 21 Q. But the primary reason you were out is because of your
- 22 back?
- 23 My back, yes. Α.
- 24 Q. You understand in this lawsuit we're not claiming that you
- 25 were out of work on account of your left upper extremity,

correct?

A. My understanding that this lawsuit regarding my left hand, nothing else.

MR. DINHOFER: One second, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, would this be a good time to take a brief break? I have to do another matter very briefly for five or ten minutes. So we'll take one more break before our lunch break. Please leave your pads on your chairs and we'll meet back in ten minutes.

(Jury excused)

(Continued next page)

(In open court; jury not present) 1 2 (At 11:55 a note was received from the jury) 3 THE COURT: We have a note from the jury. The prior note that I read at 9:45 this morning was Court Exhibit 5, just 4 5 to be clear. It's a court exhibit as distinguished from a 6 defendant's or plaintiff's exhibit. This new note, Court 7 Exhibit 6 in evidence is dated today, 12/13/11 at 11:55 a.m. "Your Honor, we would like to request respectfully that we 8 9 optimize the use of the jury by minimizing the length of the 10 breaks. Ideally we would like to submit that any business not requiring the presence of the jury be conducted outside the 11 12 jury's hours so we could maximize the time spent concluding the 13 evidence. Thank you, Victoria Chin, foreperson of the jury." 14 Okay? 15 MR. DINHOFER: Dig we must. 16 THE COURT: Excuse me? 17 MR. DINHOFER: We'll plow on. If they want us to go without breaks, we'll go without breaks. 18 19 THE COURT: So maybe we'll take a shorter lunch break. 20 MR. DINHOFER: I don't think that's what they're 21 They're saying when we're working -- I don't think 22 they understand why you took the break that you just took. 23 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, if I may, there are going to be

certain things that the litigants and the Court are going to

have to do during business hours, and I think your Honor

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

should, you know, as you usually do in a nice way let them know that.

THE COURT: Yes, that's a fair point.

MR. HEUBEL: As a matter of fact, today there's going to be some argument and some applications at the close of plaintiff's case, presumably. That may well take up some time.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, to the extent we can do things like an application, arguments about jury instructions, etc., I would like to make those in the morning before they come or in the afternoon after they leave to the extent possible. Sometimes it's possible, sometimes it's not, but it's a fair point and I'll answer their question to the extent I can with that in mind. Okay? Shall we bring them back?

(Continued on next page)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(In open court; jury present)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Members of the jury, thank you for your patience again. I do have your note. Thank you for your note, and I've shared it with counsel. We will do our best to be as efficient as we can with your time. We do try to do that. There are times, for example, this last break was something on a totally different case that I had to deal with, so sometimes it's possible, sometimes it's not. So sometimes it's my fault. Other times there are legal issues that come up in the middle of testimony or something where we do have to do a sidebar. But bearing that in mind we will try to move as quickly as we can and being as efficient as we can with your time by minimizing your breaks. We could try to do a 45-minute lunch break if that helps with moving things along. Does 45 minutes give you enough time? Well, we will try to get as much of the legal issues resolved during things like lunch breaks as we can. The problem is a lot of times you have to leave to go get lunch so coming back it makes it hard. But in any event, I have your note. I will do my best to move things along and I appreciate your continued attention.

Mr. Dinhofer, you may continue.

MR. DINHOFER: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. DINHOFER:

Q. After you realized that you couldn't have the surgery with Dr. Goodrich, did there come a time when you returned to

- 1 Israel?
- 2 I think within two months I was in Israel. Α.
- 3 Excuse me? 0.
- Within two months or three months I was back in Israel. 4 Α.
- 5 Okay, by the beginning of --Ο.
- January, I believe January. 6 Α.
- 7 Of '94? Q.
- 8 Α. '94, yes.
- 9 And for how long did you remain in Israel on that trip? Ο.
- 10 Until August. Α.
- 11 When you went back to Israel, what was your reason for
- 12 going back to Israel?
- 13 Α. One of the reasons to take care of my elbow.
- 14 What was the other reasons? Q.
- 15 Α. To be in a place where I can be taken care of because I
- 16 have no income.
- 17 So who did you live with when you went back to Israel?
- 18 In the beginning with my mother. Then I was with my
- brother. 19
- 20 Q. And while you were in Israel, did you seek out further
- 21 consultation with regard to your elbow?
- 22 I, as I said before, I had my back injury and I was out of,
- 23 from the doctors in the United States, so I was seeing doctors
- 24 in Israel also for my back. I went to this doctor for my back,
- 25 my carpal tunnel and my elbow, but the treatment was centered

Case 1:11-cv-08757-PGG Document 49 Filed 01/10/12 Page 70 of 170 897

1CDFGIL3 Giladi - direct only around my back and my carpal tunnel syndromes. Q. Who is this doctor? A. Dr. Herness. I offer in evidence the records of Dr. Herness. Q. MR. HEUBEL: Judge, can we approach one moment? THE COURT: Is this the same as the last one? MR. HEUBEL: And an additional. THE COURT: Okay, you may approach. (Continued on next page)

(At the side bar)

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, my objection is really that if counsel is going to keep offering things in front of the jury that have never been offered before with a witness who is not, has nothing to do with records other than being his patient and I object to the records and they don't come in, that's inappropriate, and I don't think that's the proper way to do this or to have done it. If he was going to put them in or wanted to put them in, he should have told me that ahead of time. It may be in a pretrial order that's years old, but this is inappropriate to do it this way.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. DINHOFER: This comes as no surprise to

Mr. Heubel. I can go back and get my pad with the notes, but

if your Honor recalls before the trial we were horse trading

exhibits that were going to go into evidence and none of them

have any of the authenticity requirements that are required,

and Dr. Herness was one of the --

MR. HEUBEL: Can you keep your voice down, please?

MR. DINHOFER: I'm sorry. Dr. Herness was one of the specific doctors we were discussing in terms of horse trading and counsel knew, in fact, it was defense counsel who wanted Dr. Herness in and he flipped-flopped on me when I said, okay, I'll consent to him coming in, which is the way it actually went down. The same with Dr. Lester.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

These are records that counsel proposed at one time that I was tentative about and when I got back to him and said finally okay I'll consent, he said no I changed my mind. That's his prerogative, but to say that he's surprised that I'm offering them if we discussed this --

THE COURT: But if there's no authentication, you're not going to get authentication from this witness.

MR. DINHOFER: I don't know if he changed his mind, your Honor. That's another reason why I keep offering them, because he did flip-flop, and I don't know where he stands on them.

MR. HEUBEL: The reason he keeps offering them is because he knows what that it looks like I don't want them to come in to the jury.

MR. DINHOFER: It's based on what you said and your I don't know whether you wanted to put them in or --MR. HEUBEL: I said I didn't want them in. How much clearer can I be?

MR. DINHOFER: You flip-flop every day.

THE COURT: This is irrelevant, this back and forth. It is irrelevant, it's not stipulated. This is not going to come in. Unless you know they're going to come in or there's authentication for them please don't present them in this They'll be excluded. manner.

(Continued on next page)

1 (In open cour

(In open court; jury present)

- 2 Q. Although you were primarily seeing Dr. Herness for your
- 3 back, you said, did you have occasion to show Dr. Herness any
- 4 of the prior EMG's with regard to your left upper extremity?
- 5 A. I do not recall. But I think he send me to have another
- 6 EMG report, EMG testing.
- 7 | Q. He sent you for another EMG?
- 8 A. I believe he send me in February of 1994. To Dr. Spira.
- 9 MR. DINHOFER: We have Dr. Spira's records, your
- 10 Honor, in evidence as Exhibit 5.
- 11 Q. Would the date of February 9, 1994 refresh your
- 12 | recollection?
- 13 A. Based on this report the examination was on February 3rd,
- 14 | 1994.
- 15 | Q. Okay.
- 16 A. And the report was written on the 9th.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And this repeats again, this report again states Dr.
- 18 | Spira's findings with regard to the EMG he performed on you, is
- 19 | that correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Who is Dr. Spira?
- 22 | A. Dr. Spira is, I do not know if he's a neurologist. I was
- 23 | being sent by Dr. Herness. Dr. Herness is one of the doctors
- 24 who work on the appeals for the Workman's Comp in Israel and I
- 25 think that Dr. Spira is the one who is doing the EMG for all

- the people filing appeal for Workman's Comp in Israel.
- Q. Where Dr. Spira says with regard to the left ulnar nerve, 2
- 3 there was some delays of motor unit conduction activity, the
- 4 cubital canal with some neurogenic pattern. There is clear
- 5 damage to the nerve at the cubital canal with delays in
- 6 stimulation and partial denigration. Did Dr. Spira communicate
- 7 that information to you?
- This information was being told to me by Dr. Herness. 8
- 9 Okay. Based on the findings of Dr. Spira? Q.
- 10 Yes, after receiving the report from him.
- 11 Okay. Did there come a time when you returned to
- 12 Dr. Rousso?
- 13 I was seeing Dr. Rousso I think since I came back to Α.
- 14 Israel. As I said, Dr. Rousso became to be my primary
- 15 physician for my elbow.
- 16 Why is that? 0.
- 17 Because he saw me in May, he already have a plan for
- 18 treatment. Dr. Herness, he was a doctor to deal with my back.
- I came with my elbow and I told him about my elbow, but he and 19
- 20 me got to the agreement that I come to him only for my carpal
- 21 tunnel syndrome and my back and with my elbow I continue with
- 22 Dr. Rousso.
- 23 And did Dr. Rousso come up with a plan of treatment for
- 24 you?
- 25 He told me to, we have such a plan for surgery. Yes.

- Giladi direct
- By the way, with all these visits that you had with 1
- Dr. Rousso, who paid for them? 2
- 3 I did. Α.
- And how much did you pay them? 4 Q.
- 5 For office visit I think around \$85. Α.
- 85 American? 6 0.
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Q. And did you pay him in cash?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 For the report that he did on the initial occasion, did you
- 11 pay him for that?
- 12 Α. Yes, I did.
- 13 How much did you pay him? Ο.
- 14 Translated dollar I think around \$500, \$600. Α. I do not
- know. 15
- 16 You paid him in Israeli shekels at that time?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Did there come a time when you underwent surgery with
- Dr. Rousso? 19
- 20 Yes, on April 11, 1994. Α.
- 21 Can you tell us how that occurred? Q.
- 22 We come to the point where in the surgery I had to do the
- 23 surgery because I was trying to find out what the problem is
- 24 and to know, and to receive some help for the pain, and this is
- 25 the only thing that I was being told it was, may relieve the

- pain but not guarantee, and I took a chance because I was, 1
- already my hand was, I couldn't function anymore, and I want to 2
- 3 have help because I was planning to come back to work in August
- of 1994 and I want to have, to be ready to go back to work. 4
- 5 Okay. Did you have anesthesia for the surgery with
- 6 Dr. Rousso?
 - No. It was local. Α.
- It was a local? 8 Q.
- 9 Yes. Α.

- 10 So you were awake during the entire surgery? Ο.
- 11 I heard every word and I know everything what's going on in
- 12 the surgery.
- 13 But you weren't able to feel it? 0.
- 14 The surgery I do not feel, but I know what's going on. Α.
- How did that make you feel knowing what was going on? 15 Q.
- Depressed. Cheated. Deceived. 16 Α.
- 17 Why did you feel depressed, cheated and deceived? Q.
- Depressed because I hurt my kids. I caused them a lot of 18
- emotional problem and they couldn't understand why their father 19
- 20 is not willing to play with them, why their father is becoming
- 21 to be a different person, couldn't relate to the divorce,
- 22 couldn't relate to my injury. I had no fault in it, and I was
- 23 paying the price. My kids was pushing me away.
- 24 When I came back, I come now, as of today my second
- 25 child is barely talking to me. He's angry with me as of today.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall how long Dr. Rousso's surgery took?
- A. More than hour is my recollection.

- Q. And after the surgery can you tell us what if anything occurred with regard to your left arm?
- A. I was in the hospital until sometime in the afternoon. In the afternoon I was released and I went home. I came back

- after two days. He did his evaluation. He told me to come 1 2 back for the day to remove the stitches. After removing the 3 stitches he told me to start physical therapy and I have, I
- went to a friend of mine that he's a physical therapist and he 4 5 was working on my hand.
 - You had some physical therapy while you were there in Israel?
 - Α. Not officially, but I was being taken care of.
- 9 By a friend? Ο.
- 10 Α. Yes.

Α.

6

7

8

15

- 11 And what did that therapy consist of that you had with the 12 friend?
- 13 Occupational and physical therapy. Α.
- 14 What did he have you doing? Q.
- finger movement. He did something for strength to, something 16 like a rubber to the wall and I had to pull it to build 17

He had a circle with a mesh inside and I had to do some

- 18 strength. I put my hand in a special bath to feel comfort. He 19 did ultrasound. He did whatever necessary for, to improve my
- 20 hand.
- 21 And how long did you go for physical therapy in Israel?
- 22 I think until almost until the time -- maybe for a month, 23 something like that.
- 24 And do you know how many sessions he did during that month?
- 25 No, whenever he had time I went. I went to him, so I Α.

- Giladi direct
- cannot tell you exactly. 1
- Are we talking about something you did once a week, twice a 2
- 3 week, something else?
- At least twice a week. 4 Α.
- 5 At least twice a week?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Did you have to pay Dr. Rousso for the surgery?
- 8 Α. Yes, I did.
- 9 And how much did you pay Dr. Rousso for the surgery? Q.
- 10 Α. \$2,000.
- 11 0. Was that U.S.?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And did you have to pay the hospital for the surgery? 0.
- 14 Yes, I think around \$500. This is my recollection. I'm Α.
- not --15
- Postoperatively, did you have to pay Dr. Rousso for any of 16
- 17 the office visits?
- 18 A. I think for the first or second visit I didn't pay or maybe
- only the first one I did not pay, but the rest I paid of the 19
- 20 visit.
- 21 Q. Do you have any idea of how many office visits you actually
- 22 paid Dr. Rousso for?
- 23 I believe it's four or five.
- Do you feel you had any kind of improvements in your left 24
- 25 upper arm symptomology as a result of the surgery performed by

1 | Dr. Rousso?

- 2 A. I have some relief but not to the extent that I say I can function.
- Q. Could you just try and detail for us what kind of relief it is that you did have?
 - A. I'm not isolating myself any more from people that I knew before Dr. Rousso's surgery. I still have the pain in my elbow when somebody touch me but not to the extent that was prior to that. I'm more tolerant but still not really tolerant. I don't know if that's really understood, but --

As I said before, I am trying very hard to function like everybody would like to be functioning, and I'm trying to ignore it. Before Dr. Rousso surgery, even if I wanted, I couldn't, because the little touch cause me pain for a day or two. Now it's a little bit different, but still is not comfortable.

- Q. It's been roughly sixteen, seventeen years now since Dr. Rousso's surgery, and during that time have you noticed any kinds of improvement in terms of your strength in the fourth and fifth fingers of your left hand?
- A. Put it this way, before Dr. Rousso did the surgery I had some clawing of my hand, my hand, my four and fifth finger I had some freeze and I had some problem of clawing or something, I think this is the name he call it, they're calling it.
- Q. A contracture of the fingers?

- A. Yes. Even Dr. Spira when I showed him the pictures that
 one of my colleagues took from work, when he saw that, he
 wanted to take this picture for publication. This one of the
- major things that really got improved from Dr. Rousso's surgery.
- 6 Q. Okay. You don't have the clawing as much anymore?
- A. I have some clawing, if you look, I have -- my fifth finger and fourth finger are constantly touching one another, is not
- 9 normal, but this is what I have. It's better than what I have before.
- 11 Q. Okay. How about the sensation in the fourth and fifth
 12 fingers? Do you have any improvement there?
- A. Not really to the extent that I can -- I have improvement, but not major.
- Q. Did you have any complaints with regard to a loss of sensation in your fourth and fifth fingers when you first saw Dr. Strauch?
- 18 A. I did not have any complaint regarding to the ulnar nerve distributions.
- 20 | O. And that includes the elbow as well?
- 21 A. Elbow, from the shoulder to the fingers, all this I did not 22 have any.
- Q. And how about at the elbow itself? After he removed the neuroma, was there any improvement at the elbow?
- 25 A. For the first, for a while I did not have the pain in

- there, but after this I start to have, for some reason I start to have some pain again there which I did not understand it.

 So he told me that I may developing some little neuromas around a scar of the cut. And I asked him what is that and he told me this is, with some people it's normal. When they already develop the first neuroma, this could happen again. But I did not have the same thing from the first one, so this could be recurrent because my body already develop the first neuroma.
- Q. Do you still have that problem with the scarring today?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. Some of this we've touched on. I'm going to do it in the phrasing of the questions I'm going to ask you now. Are there things that you used to do with your left arm that you can't do now as a result of the surgery which you attribute to being as a result of the surgery of December 12, 1991 and the injury that you sustained in that surgery?
 - A. Yes. I would start with my son. He's twelve years old now.
- 19 Q. This is from your second marriage?
 - A. Yes. He wanted to learn martial arts because he know that I used to do martial arts before. He want me to teach him and to work with him and I refuse. I said I cannot do it. He get annoyed, but he get used to it. He got used to it, but he's still annoyed. And he also know that he's not allowed to come from my left side. If he have to do anything with me he have

to come from the right side, and my two kids, knowing that my left side is absolutely not near you can come or touch.

My adult relationship is very difficult, it's not something the way it was before my injury. I would put this way. From the time of the surgery until maybe a year or so after Dr. Rousso I did not have any adult relationship with nobody. I isolated myself totally with people. I was totally isolated from my family, isolated from my nieces and nephew, I isolated myself. When I went overseas I just went to the room and sat down by myself. I try not to be -- I was not social. That's not me. I'm a very social person. I love to be with people. I love to enjoy the company of people. It's been taken away from me.

- Q. How about activities of daily life, household chores and such not? Are there things that you used to do that you don't do anymore?
- A. I do my best, as I said before, to function like I don't have a problem. I really work very hard with my kids from my second marriage. When I was trying to take care of them, most of the stuff I used to do is sitting on the floor because I cannot function with my left hand, so if I need to feed them or something like that, I used to sit on the floor, cross my leg, put the baby on the floor and feed him this way instead of holding them or putting I had to modify and find a way to operate and not to excuse my hand from being able to do so.

1CDFGIL3 Giladi - direct This is why I was working for a year and a half after my 1 2 surgery. I was doing my work, I was trying to find a way to do 3 my job, even though my hand do not allow me to do it the way I used to. Got to the point that my boss got upset and closed my 4 5 department because from being a profit department I began to be a loser because I was not getting as much jobs as I used to, 6 7 because nobody liked to work with me anymore, because a job took forever. It did have effect on my life. 8 9 Q. Sitting here now, and I think we covered this, but just 10 quickly, can you tell me what your present complaints are related to the injuries you suffered as a result of the surgery 11 of December 12, 1991? 12 13 A. Pain in my elbow. Sensitive to touch in the area of the 14 elbow, any touch will create pain. Weakness and loss of 15 sensation. 16 (Continued next page)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

BY MR. DINHOFER:

- And is it your understanding that these complaints will 2
- continue for the rest of your life? 3
- A. As I said before, until the day I die. 4
- 5 MR. DINHOFER: Thank you. That concludes my direct examination, your Honor. 6
 - THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a one minute stretch You want to stand up, you can stretch your legs and I think then we'll go till 1:00, unless somebody needs a break.
 - Okay, cross-examination, Mr. Heubel.
- 12 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I have just one more moment?
- 13 THE COURT: Sure.
- 14 CROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. HEUBEL: 15
- Good afternoon, Mr. Giladi. 16
- 17 Good afternoon. Α.
- 18 You and I have never met, have we?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Never had the occasion during the course of this litigation
- 21 to meet each other face-to-face prior to this trial, right?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 Now, Mr. Giladi, isn't it a fact that you knew, long before
- 24 you saw Dr. Strauch for the first time, in fact three or four
- 25 years before you saw Dr. Strauch for the first time, that you

1CDZGIL4 Giladi - cross

- 1 | had ulnar nerve entrapment at your left elbow; isn't that true?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And you knew that, because you had been going to Dr.
- 6 Kaplan, as you told us earlier, for many years, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes, I do.
- 8 MR. HEUBEL: And, Judge, may I approach just for a 9 moment?
- 10 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 11 Q. During the time that you had been going to Dr. Kaplan, Mr.
- 12 | Giladi, you had some EMG's done, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. And, as a matter of fact, you had an EMG done in November
- 15 | of 1987; true?
- 16 A. Yeah, this is after the surgery of '87.
- 17 | Q. That was your wrist surgery, though, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And Dr. Kaplan told you, did he not, that in November of
- 20 | 1987, you had entrapments involving the median nerve at both
- 21 | the wrist and ulnar nerves at both elbows, correct?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 | Q. And you knew that an entrapment meant that there was some
- 24 problem with the way the ulnar nerve was positioned at the
- 25 | elbow; true?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. No, I did not know that at the time, which I know that issue was not even was not symptom to confirm it. I was working --
- Q. And you told this jury --

MR. DINHOFER: He didn't finish his answer.

THE COURT: Are you finished with the answer?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

THE COURT: Okay, you can finish your answer.

A. I was working and I did not have a complaint.

The fact that entrapment was being found depends on what stage; if it's mild, minor or moderate degree. I did not have moderate degree or mild degree, and Dr. Kaplan at the time did not tell me that my condition is that I have a damaged nerve at the place, saying that I have entrapment and just go ahead with this, go ahead and not tell me that anything else. I follow what he told me. I did not look at this as an issue.

- Q. Well, you knew, Mr. Giladi, that an entrapment was not a
- normal finding; true?
- 19 A. Entrapment --
- 20 MR. DINHOFER: Objection to the term, your Honor.
- 21 A. I'm not a doctor.
- Q. Well, when Dr. Kaplan conveyed to you that you had
 entrapment of the nerve, in the ulnar nerve in the elbow, you
 didn't think that was a normal finding, did you?
- 25 A. If I thought it's normal?

Giladi - cross

- You didn't think it was normal, did you? 1
- Entrapment for me mean you have something there. 2 Α.
- 3 it is, I do not know. If I do not know, I do not know what is
- 4 important of it.
- 5 Q. Something there -- all I asked you was, you knew that the
- something that was there was not normal, correct? 6
 - What is normal for you? I do not know.
 - I didn't ask what was normal for me, Mr. Giladi.
- 9 If you ask me what norm --
- 10 I asked you, I asked you if you knew when you were told by 0.
- 11 Dr. Kaplan that an entrapment of the ulnar nerve at the elbow
- 12 was not normal?
- 13 MR. DINHOFER: Your Honor, this has been asked and
- 14 answered now four times. I think my client has answered it
- sufficiently. 15
- 16 MR. HEUBEL: I don't think I've gotten an answer,
- 17 Judge.

7

- 18 THE COURT: I'm not sure. If you have an answer or if
- 19 you --
- 20 I said --Α.
- 21 THE COURT: -- if you don't understand --
- 22 I did not understand. At the time my English was not as
- 23 good as today. I was -- entrapment for me that you have
- 24 something in the elbow, but I did not know the meaning of that
- 25 today.

- When did your English improve?
- During the years, for the last ten years my English 2 Α. 3 improved dramatically.
- 4 So you're telling the jury that your English was not so 0. 5 good back at the time when you were seeing Dr. Strauch?
- 6 My English was not good to the point that I have it today.
- 7 My English was good at the time, but not as good as today.
- 8 Entrapment --
- 9 Q. Are you saying that you didn't know what Dr. Strauch and 10 the other doctors were saying to you because your English was 11 not good?
- A. No, I -- you talking about '87, we're talking about '91. 12
- 13 Between '87 to '91 is four years that I learn a little bit more
- 14 English, and I also got to learn the language better and to
- 15 understand it better. And I, you -- as I said before,
- entrapment for me was not a big issue. 16
- 17 Q. Mr. Giladi, I didn't ask you if it was a big issue.
- asked you, isn't it correct that you knew it wasn't normal; big 18
- issue, small issue, whatever, you knew at the time that it 19
- 20 wasn't normal, isn't that correct?
- 21 Is not -- put it this way, yes. Α.
- 22 Thank you. Now, you told us that a little while ago, that
- 23 you never had, before seeing Dr. Strauch, any symptoms with
- 24 your left arm from shoulder through the elbow, correct?
- 25 No, that's not what I said.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 I, you like --Α.
- 3 I'm sorry, I stand corrected. You said what you didn't 0.
- 4 have --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- MR. DINHOFER: May the witness finish his answer 5 6 without interruptions, your Honor?

THE COURT: Well --

MR. DINHOFER: The witness was still talking, and he's just talking over him, Mr. Heubel, when he's trying to say something. Mr. Giladi is soft spoken and I think he's taking advantage of that moment to speak over him.

THE COURT: Well, okay, okay. He said that's not what I said.

MR. DINHOFER: He was continuing on.

THE WITNESS: Which I said was that I never had a problem on the ulnar nerve distribution of my hand.

- Q. And, Mr. Giladi, when you say the ulnar nerve distribution, you're talking about the fourth and the fifth finger, is that
- right, at least including those two digits, is that correct? 19
- 20 A. Including everything related to the nerve. I'm talking 21 about the nerve themself.
- 22 Q. Correct. You used the term "ulnar nerve distribution."
- 23 By the way, did you know what the term ulnar nerve 24 distribution meant?
- 25 A. With the --

- In 1991 and before then?
- 2 No. I know it today. Α.
- 3 And when you told the jury that you didn't have any
- 4 symptoms in the ulnar nerve distribution, you were talking
- 5 about what?
- The ulnar nerve was without any injuries. 6
- 7 And you also told this jury earlier that you did not have
- any problems with the elbow, correct? 8
 - I never had a problem with the elbow until '91. Α.
- 10 Ο. Until '91?
- 11 Meaning -- '91, yeah, December -- December 12th, 1991.
- 12 And you also told the jury that you didn't have any
- 13 problems with the ulnar nerve distribution before December of
- 14 1991?
- 15 A. When I'm talking about the ulnar nerve distribution, I'm
- talking about injury to the nerve itself. 16
- Q. Doctor, isn't it a fact -- I mean, not Doctor. Mr. Giladi, 17
- 18 isn't it a fact, that as early as 1988, you were having
- 19 symptoms related to your ulnar nerve at the elbow?
- 20 Α. It's not exactly correct.
- 21 That's not correct? Q.
- 22 It's not exactly correct, because I can explain myself to
- 23 you.
- 24 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, can I just step up for a moment?
- 25 THE COURT: Yes.

Giladi - cross

- 1 | Q. Do you remember earlier today telling us that you went to a
- 2 Dr. Spinner?
- 3 A. Yes. After my surgery of '87, I went to Dr. Spinner
- 4 because I had hematoma at the biceps here, which caused by
- 5 | anesthesia. And as a result, my hand was swelling and too much
- 6 pressure on ulnar nerve there, and I wanted Dr. Spinner to help
- 7 | me with that and --
- 8 Q. And you told us you went to Dr. Spinner in February of
- 9 | 1988, correct?
- 10 A. Because the problem that I had.
- 11 | Q. My question was, did you go there in 1988?
- 12 A. I don't remember. I do not remember the dates. If you say
- 13 | so, I did.
- 14 | Q. Well, let me show you. Do you see this note from Dr.
- 15 | Spinner in evidence?
- 16 A. Yeah, this first visit with him.
- 17 | Q. As defendant's Exhibit B in evidence, do you see that the
- 18 date on there?
- 19 A. I say it look like the first visit with him.
- 20 | Q. February 1st, 2008, correct?
- 21 A. Yeah. It's after the surgery in September of '87.
- 22 \parallel Q. And didn't you tell Dr. Spinner then that when you flex
- 23 | your elbow, you have numbness in your hand?
- MR. DINHOFER: Objection. It's a mischaracterization
- of what's in evidence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

22

23

24

25

noted?"

THE COURT: Okay, could you clarify.

MR. HEUBEL: I'm not reading what's in evidence. asking the witness a question.

MR. DINHOFER: It's a mischaracterization of what's in evidence. The evidence speaks for itself.

THE COURT: Didn't you tell Mr. Spinner that when you flex your elbow, you have numbness in your hand?

THE WITNESS: I do not recall. I do not recall.

- Q. Mr. Giladi, I'd like you to take a look at that note by Dr. Spinner that we talked about a moment ago, and specifically I'd like you to look at the last -- second-to-last sentence in the first paragraph. And you see where it begins, "it should be
- A. Where are you talking about?
- Q. First paragraph 2/1/88, second to the last sentence which 15 16 begins, it should also be noted?
 - THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is defendant's exhibit B, correct?
- 19 MR. HEUBEL: Defendant's exhibit B, yes.
- 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
- 21 O. You see that?
 - I see that. And he said very clear, that when I flex my elbow I have, I have numbness in my hand. But he doesn't say which fingers in my hand I have the numbness.
 - Okay. Dr. Spinner, most certainly related the flexing of

- your elbow to the numbness in your hand, did he not? 1
- But what finger, I do not know what he's talking about. 2 Α.
- 3 In another -- I'm not asking you about fingers. But you
- 4 flexed your elbow while you were at Dr. Spinner's office?
- 5 Α. That's correct.
- And you told him that when you did that, you had more 6
- 7 numbness in your hand, correct?
- Based on the notes, he did some examination and I had some 8
- 9 numbness. Which fingers is not talking about, he -- it could
- 10 be, he told to do something like that too.
- 11 Mr. Giladi, are you a doctor?
- 12 Α. I'm saying I do not --
- 13 Are you a doctor? 0.
- 14 I'm a paramedics. Α.
- 15 MR. DINHOFER: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: The witness -- the objection is overruled 16
- 17 and the witness is instructed to answer the question.
- 18 MR. DINHOFER: I got a different objection. When he's
- 19 raising his voice, he's starting to run into the witness too.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 I'm a paramedics. Α.
- 22 Mr. Giladi, are you threatened by me?
- 23 MR. DINHOFER: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: That's an argumentative question.
- 25 MR. HEUBEL: Withdrawn, withdrawn, Judge.

1 MR. DINHOFER: That's ridiculous.

THE COURT: Sustained. 2

- 3 Q. Mr. Giladi, when you were at Dr. Spinner's office,
- according to this note, you bent your elbow and you told Dr. 4
- Spinner that when you did that, you had more numbness in your 5
- hand, correct? 6
- 7 Based on the note, yes.
- 8 Now, that was approximately three years before you saw Dr.
- 9 Strauch, true?
- 10 Α. Give or take, yes.
- 11 And, by the way, Mr. Giladi, you had also asked Dr. Kaplan
- 12 as you did later with Dr. Strauch, for a letter to use to be
- 13 excused from military duty back in July of 1988, correct?
- 14 A. Yeah, because I was having problem with the hematoma, and I
- was still working on it to fix it to -- I was waiting for the 15
- what happened there to reduce. And I have a responsibility to 16
- 17 my soldiers and to the country and to myself and to my family,
- and I needed something that in case I need it, I should use it. 18
- 19 Q. And Dr. Kaplan gave you that letter, just like Dr. Strauch
- 20 did, right?
- 21 Α. That's correct.
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: And, Judge, this is plaintiff's Exhibit
- 23 four in evidence.
- 24 The letter, a letter from Dr. Kaplan dated July 26, 1988;
- 25 can you see that, Mr. Giladi?

- Α. Yes, I see that.
- And do you see that in that letter -- by the way, Dr. 2 Q.
- 3 Kaplan gave this letter to you to take to Israel or wherever
- 4 you needed to go to present that in the event that you were
- 5 asked to do something with respect to your military duty,
- 6 right?

- 7 That's correct.
- And you read that letter at the time he gave it to you, 8
- 9 just like you told the jury you read documents given to you by
- 10 Dr. Strauch, correct?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 And you see in that letter, Mr. Giladi, that among the
- 13 things listed by Dr. Kaplan, in about the middle of the letter
- 14 two-thirds of the way down, it says, "In addition, he has multi
- 15 focal entrapments of the median ulnar nerves, bilaterally, in
- the arms occurring at the wrist and elbows respectively?" 16
- That's correct. 17 Α.
- 18 Do you see that? Q.
- That's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 And does Dr. Kaplan go on to say that Mr. Giladi is in no
- 21 way a candidate for military duty and would probably represent
- 22 a hazard in any military situation, correct?
- 23 That's correct, because --Α.
- 24 And was it your understanding, Mr. Giladi, that Dr. Kaplan
- 25 was telling whoever your superiors might be or whoever you have

Giladi - cross

- to give this letter to, that these were the reasons why you 1 2 might be a hazard in any military situation?
- 3 No, it's not why.
- 4 Now, did he give it to you -- did he give you this letter Q.
- 5 for some other purpose?
- 6 He gave me this letter because I was having problem with my
- 7 biceps and the hematoma, and this creating some of the symptoms
- that I had. And, in his opinion, until this issue was not be 8
- 9 resolved, I should not be in the military duties.
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Giladi, going back to the notes of Dr. Spinner,
- 11 the one that we referred to before which was part of
- 12 defendant's exhibit B, the last sentence in the first
- 13 paragraph. You see that?
- 14 The last sentence of? Α.
- 15 Q. Of the first paragraph, after the elbow and the numbness
- sentence it begins, "It is suggestive of a cubital tunnel 16
- 17 syndrome separately; do you see that?
- 18 Α. I see it now.
- Now, you know from all the experience you've had, up to 19
- 20 today, including this trial, that the cubital tunnel is the
- 21 groove that the ulnar nerve passes through at the elbow, right?
- 22 He's talking about entrapment here.
- 23 I'm sorry? 0.
- 24 Α. He's talking about the entrapment.
- 25 Dr. Spinner is saying that when you flex your elbow, you

- get more numbness in the hand, and that is suggestive of 1
- 2 cubital tunnel syndrome separately, correct?
- 3 As I said. Α.
- 4 And what Dr. Spinner -- did Dr. Spinner tell you what his Q.
- 5 findings were back then in 1988?
- He spoke with Dr. Kaplan at the time. 6 Α.
- 7 No, I asked you if you -- if Dr. Spinner told you what his
- 8 findings were at that time?
- 9 Can I answer? Α.
- 10 My question, sure. Ο.
- 11 Thank you. Dr. Kaplan referred me to him. When I was at
- 12 his office, if I'm not mistaken, he called Dr. Kaplan. Dr.
- 13 Kaplan maybe told him about my entrapment. And he just -- when
- 14 he -- I was in his office, he told me besides that, I have the
- 15 entrapment, and that's it.
- I never saw this note, this personal note. He never 16
- 17 told me this that I have a syndrome the way it where it it.
- Here he told me --18
- 19 Q. Well, the way it's worded here is that the numbness that
- 20 you feel when you bent your elbow is suggestive of a cubital
- 21 tunnel syndrome separately; isn't that correct?
- 22 Α. I do not know.
- 23 0. Okav.
- 24 I do not know what, but I don't understand your question. Α.
- 25 Can you repeat the question, please?

Q. My question was, these two sentences, Mr. Giladi, it should also be noted that when he flexes his elbow, he gets more numbress in his hand. It is suggestive of a cubital tunnel syndrome separately.

You understand that to mean that the numbness you felt on bending your elbow suggested to Dr. Spinner that you had a problem in your elbow; true?

MR. DINHOFER: Objection. At what point in time?

Based on the present knowledge he has or at the time back when he saw him with --

Q. Well, start right now.

MR. DINHOFER: If this information was even conveyed to him, which I think he said it wasn't at the time.

THE COURT: I think it's a fair question.

You understand, present tense, do you understand that to mean that the numbness he felt on bending your elbow suggested to Dr. Spinner that you had a problem with your elbow; true.

THE WITNESS: My understanding from this statement that Dr. Posner -- Spinner was not sure, is assuming, but you have no evidence that there's some disease going on with the nerve. He said very clear that possibility.

Q. Well, Mr. Giladi, you don't -- withdrawn.

You have no reason to disbelieve Dr. Spinner when he says that when you flexed your elbow, you had more numbness in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

the hand; you have no reason to disbelieve that, do you?

I never doubt doctors. If I would doubting doctor, I was Α. going to do things with my elbow beforehand.

I never doubt doctors. But doctor told me something that's the first I do what they told me.

Second, this note I never saw in my life before, This issue, this is internal issue. I do not know. before. know what he told me at the time of the examination. The time of the examination he never mentioned anything about. He just took notes and he wrote.

- Ο. Well --
- A. What he wrote, I have no knowledge. So I cannot tell you what you thinking, what thinking. I know what he told me at the time.
 - Q. If Dr. Spinner note is correct, then while you were at his office in 1988, you bent your elbow and you had more numbness, and you told him that you had more numbness in your hand, correct?
 - MR. DINHOFER: I think we've covered this for the last half-hour, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 22 A. As I said before, whatever the doctor writing, I assume it 23 is correct.
- 24 Now, you also saw Dr. Kaplan in January of 1990, correct?
- 25 Could be. Α.

- Q. I'm sorry?
- 2 Α. Could be.

- 3 Okay. I'm going to show you a portion of Dr. Kaplan's
- 4 records, which is in evidence as plaintiff's Exhibit four.
- 5 you see that note as dated 1/9/90?
- Α. Yes. 6
- 7 Now, Mr. Giladi, when you went to visit Dr. Kaplan on
- various occasions, at least sometimes he would ask you for a 8
- 9 history of what had been going on with you and what your
- 10 complaints were; true?
- 11 Not all the time.
- 12 Well, I didn't say all the time. Some of the occasions
- 13 when you went to Dr. Kaplan, he would ask you for a history as
- 14 to what was going on with you with respect to what complaints
- you had? 15
- 16 It's a possibility, yes. Α.
- 17 I'm sorry? Q.
- 18 It is a possibility. Α.
- 19 Okay. And if Dr. Kaplan noted in his records that you told Q.
- 20 him that you continued to have pain in your arms, that would be
- 21 correct, would it not?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 And if Dr. Kaplan said in his note recorded -- in his note,
- 24 that you had pain from the left wrist to the elbow to the
- 25 shoulder, that would be correct as well; true?

Α. Yes.

- And if Dr. Kaplan said in his note that the pain from your 2
- 3 left wrist to the elbow to the shoulder is worse with movement,
- 4 that would be true as well, correct?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- And if Dr. Kaplan said that this pain in your left wrist to 6
- 7 elbow to shoulder was worse while you were holding a telephone,
- that would be true too, would it not? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And in order to hold a telephone in your left hand, you
- 11 have to bend your elbow; true?
- 12 Α. True.
- 13 0. I'm sorry?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- Now, Mr. Giladi, I'd like you to take a look at the first 15 Q.
- paragraph of Dr. Kaplan's note dated 1/9/90. Does that note 16
- 17 say that you continue to have pain in your -- in his arms?
- 18 But it don't say where in his arm I have it. Α.
- 19 I'm sorry? Q.
- 20 It doesn't specify which area of my arm I have pain. Α.
- 21 It just says arms, correct? Q.
- 22 Arms is which part of the arm; upper arm?
- 23 All I asked you was, does it say that you continued to have
- 24 pain in your arm?
- 25 And he's talking about -- he's talking about biceps, Yes.

Giladi - cross

- because it's the area I was complaining about. 1
- 2 Okay. His next sentence says, "He has pain from the left Q.
- 3 wrist to the elbow to the shoulder, " does it not?
- That's correct. 4 Α.
- Dr. Kaplan doesn't say he has pain in his bicep, does it? 5
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 And the bicep, you know the bicep is up here between the
- elbow and the shoulder; true? 8
- 9 That's correct. Α.
- 10 And would you agree with me that Dr. Kaplan probably knew
- 11 what a bicep is?
- 12 Α. That's correct.
- 13 At the time? Ο.
- 14 That's correct. Α.
- 15 Q. And do you see in the fourth sentence, "it is worse while
- holding a telephone, " you see that? 16
- 17 A. Yes. And I know why. I know what he's talking here is
- 18 about also --
- 19 I didn't ask you what he was talking about. I asked you if
- 20 that's what it says in the note?
- 21 A. Yes. He's talking about a shoulder, for the shoulder, this
- 22 is what is believed at the time that I have.
- 23 Q. Now, you were talking to us a moment ago about the term
- 24 "ulnar nerve distribution," right?
- 25 Α. That's correct.

- Okay. And I may have asked you this before, but bear with 1
- me, I'm going to ask you again. Included in your terminology 2
- 3 of the ulnar nerve distribution are these two fingers, the
- little finger and the finger next to it? 4
- 5 That's correct. Α.
- Right? And we refer to that as the fourth and fifth 6
- 7 finger?
- 8 Α. That's correct.
- 9 Ο. Okay. Now --
- 10 THE COURT: Counsel, would this be a good time to
- break for lunch? 11
- 12 MR. HEUBEL: Just one or two more questions.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 Q. Mr. Giladi, when you met with Dr. Kaplan on January 9th,
- 1990, that was almost a year before you went to see Dr. 15
- Strauch, correct? 16
- 17 That's correct. Α.
- 18 And you told Dr. Kaplan at that time that you had
- 19 occasional numbness of the fingers, especially the fourth
- 20 finger; didn't you tell him that?
- 21 Α. I do not recall.
- 22 Q. You don't recall. If Dr. Kaplan put in his notes that he
- 23 occasionally has numbness of the fingers, especially the fourth
- 24 finger, that would be correct, wouldn't it?
- 25 You talking about this fingers, this finger, ring finger.

- Giladi cross
- Didn't we just say fourth and fifth fingers? 1
- No, ring finger goes both direction. 2 Α.
- 3 I didn't ask you about direction. I asked you --0.
- You asked me about ulnar nerve distribution. If we want to 4 Α.
- 5 be clear and honest to the jury, we have to be honest. Number
- 6 four goes, half of it go to the ulnar, and the other half go to
- 7 different nerve, so.
- So the fourth finger is within the ulnar nerve 8
- 9 distribution; true?
- 10 A. Half of it.
- 11 Okay. The finger, half the finger is in the ulnar nerve
- 12 distribution, right?
- 13 I did not specify, but yes. Α.
- 14 Q. Now, also at that time Dr. Kaplan in his same note of
- 15 1/9/90, says the following: In the second paragraph?
- MR. DINHOFER: Is that exhibit in evidence? 16
- MR. HEUBEL: I'm sorry, my mistake. 17
- Exhibit four --18 Ο.
- 19 MR. HEUBEL: And this will be my last question, Judge.
- 20 You see that, the second paragraph, after examination
- 21 beginning, he has tenderness; there is a sentence, the third
- 22 line?
- 23 Are you talking about normal strength?
- 24 No. He appears to have rather significant slowing of Ο.
- 25 median nerve conduction across the left wrist and ulnar nerve

1CDZGIL4

conduction across the left elbow, correct; does it say that?

- A. He's talking about entrapment.
- Q. That's correct.
- A. Okay.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, this would be an appropriate time to break.

THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen, we'll take lunch break now. It's 1:05. We'll start back at 2:05 promptly.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court; jury not present)

MR. DINHOFER: Would this be as good a time to revisit the issue of the judicial notice?

THE COURT: Unfortunately, I have an appointment in my chambers that I have to attend, so.

MR. DINHOFER: Before we bring back the jury, can we address it?

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll try to do it quickly before. I'll take a look at the -- the cases are not about that, they're about fraudulent concealment.

MR. DINHOFER: The cases of fraudulent concealment, yes.

THE COURT: So we'll try and address that before the jury comes back. Okay.

By the way, Mr. Heubel, is Dr. Posner, is he available today or not?

MR. HEUBEL: He's not, because I specifically asked him to make sure that he cancelled his appointments all day tomorrow.

THE COURT: Tomorrow morning.

MR. HEUBEL: And then I expect to work fairly efficiently with him, and I will make every effort to do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HEUBEL: Now, I don't think I'll be much longer, I guess I went about 40 minutes, I don't think I'll be too much

```
Giladi - cross
```

```
more than that as it turns out this afternoon. So that's 2:05,
1
 2
     let's say by three something, I'll probably be finished.
 3
     don't know how much redirect there's going to be.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
 5
              MR. HEUBEL: So you want us back here at?
6
               THE COURT: Could you come back about five minutes
 7
     before 2:00?
8
              MR. HEUBEL: Sure.
9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MR. DINHOFER:
                             Thank you.
11
              THE COURT: Thanks.
12
               (Luncheon recess)
13
              AFTERNOON SESSION
14
               2:05 p.m.
15
               (In open court; jury not present)
16
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge entering.
17
               THE COURT: Okay, good afternoon, everyone.
18
     wanted me to take judicial notice of something?
19
              MR. DINHOFER: Yes, sir.
20
               THE COURT: What was that?
21
              MR. DINHOFER: Okay, there are a bunch of statutes
22
     that I cited.
23
               THE COURT: In your jury instructions?
24
              MR. DINHOFER:
                             Right.
25
               THE COURT: What relevance do they have?
```

MR. DINHOFER: Okay, the statutes are relevant in terms of the biased interest and motive of the defendants to be deceitful, in covering up their own crimes at the time when they did, such that now, of course, they have to stay consistent with those crimes that they covered up back then.

I asked them before if they had knowledge of the crime. I think I just asked it of Dr. Strauch. And the fact that there is such a crime of filing a false operative report, of filing these for purposes with insurance companies for getting payment, these go to the motives of the defendants in this case, and why they still don't acknowledge the injury that they inflicted upon my client.

THE COURT: Mr. Heubel?

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I certainly don't think, and this is why I objected when that question was asked of Dr. Strauch, I don't think that there has — that the elements of any crime have been either pled or made out by evidence in this case. Simply by — and then counsel wants to advise the jury as to criminal statutes that have no part to play in this case. It's not a criminal trial. He's not proving up an underlying crime.

And the reason I object also is because the term "filing a false report," what does that mean to anyone?

THE COURT: Yeah, I think it's really attenuated. I think it's -- I think it's prejudicial. I think prejudice would substantially outweigh any probative effect, and I just

don't think that's what this case is about. This is a medical malpractice case. This isn't a criminal case. To the extent that you want to make motive relevant, it's obvious what the motive would be. I mean, you don't need some statute about false filings to make clear what the motive would be. So there's no sort of additional marginal probative value I think that would be, would come close to outweighing the prejudice of having all these statutes read, and it's just not what this case is about, so.

MR. DINHOFER: I think on the fraudulent concealment aspect of the case, it is exactly what the case is about.

THE COURT: Well, I think you should -- let's bring in the jury.

> MR. DINHOFER: Okay.

(Continued on next page)

17

18

21

24

1cdzgil4a

1

4

5

6

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering.

- THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 2
- 3 may be seated. Welcome back.
 - We'll be continuing now with the cross-examination by defendants of the plaintiff.
 - Mr. Heubel.
- 7 MR. HEUBEL: Thank you, Judge.
- Q. Mr. Giladi, we left off I think following your visit in 8
- 9 1990 with Dr. Kaplan; remember that discussion?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Ο. Earlier today?
- 12 Α. Yeah.
- 13 Before lunch? 0.
- 14 Uh-huh. Α.
- And about the beginning of 1991, you went to see Dr. 15 Q.
- Strauch at his office at Montefiore Hospital, correct? 16
- 17 Yeah, February. Α.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry?
- At the end of February, if I'm not mistaken. 19
- 20 And the reason you went to Dr. Strauch is because you were
- 21 having difficulty after five or six hours of work with pain in
- 22 your hand, correct?
- 23 A. Talking pain of my hand, I'm talking about the wrist, about
- 24 this area.
- 25 Well, did you? Q.

- 1 Α. The hand.
- Did you explain to Dr. Strauch that you had pain in your 2
- 3 hand after five or six hours of work, and that that was
- 4 concerning to you?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 And --0.
- 7 Α. And also --
- 8 After the first visit with Dr. Strauch, he sent you to have
- 9 some EMG studies done, correct?
- 10 Α. That's correct.
- 11 Ο. To Dr. Berger?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And you learned from the results of those studies, as you 0.
- 14 had learned from previous studies by Dr. Kaplan, that you had
- 15 your left ulnar nerve entrapment at the elbow, true, that was
- that was continuing to exist, did it not? 16
- 17 Incorrect. I have bilateral, meaning I have left and right
- 18 equally.
- 19 I asked you if you had it in the left, correct?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And if you had -- if you have it bilaterally, you must have
- 22 it in the left, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Now, at your -- you had a visit with Dr. Strauch on
- 25 July 19th, 1991 after he had sent you for those EMG studies,

Giladi - cross

- nerve conduction studies with Dr. Berger, correct? 1
- Α. That's correct. 2
- 3 Q. And at that -- and you've had an opportunity, as you sat
- 4 here during this trial, to see Dr. Strauch's notes for your
- 5 visits with him, correct?
- Can you repeat the question, please? 6
- 7 Sure. During the time that this trial has been ongoing,
- you were seated at the table and you had access to a computer 8
- 9 screen and you saw various piece of evidence, documentary
- 10 evidence on the screen, right?
- 11 Α. I saw that.
- 12 And among those -- I'm sorry?
- 13 Α. I saw.
- 14 Okay. And among that, those documents were certain of Dr.
- 15 Strauch's records, right?
- 16 Α. It's correct.
- 17 And one of those records that you saw involved a visit on
- July 19th, 1991, correct? 18
- A. That's correct. 19
- 20 And I put that on the screen, and it's part of plaintiff's
- 21 Exhibit two, Dr. Strauch's typed notes for 7/19/91.
- 22 Now, Mr. Giladi, do you see there that Dr. Strauch,
- 23 approximately three full lines from the bottom of that note --
- 24 Α. I cannot hear you.
- 25 I'm sorry? Q.

1cdzgil4a

Giladi - cross

- I cannot hear you. 1 Α.
- The bottom of that note 7/19/91? 2 Q.
- 3 Uh-huh. Α.
- 4 That paragraph, about three lines up from the bottom, on Q.
- 5 the right side of the page there are the words as well as. Do
- 6 you see that, as well as weakness?

MR. HEUBEL: Sure.

- 7 I see that. Α.
- Okay. And it says --8 Q.
- 9 MR. DINHOFER: Which line are you on? Can you point to the line?
- 12 MR. DINHOFER: Okay, sorry. I was on three from the
- 13 top.

10

- 14 Q. Now, perhaps I'll read from the whole sentence beginning is
- the -- "his decreased sensibility was present in the hand on 15
- all his digits." Do you see that? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then it says "Has positive Tinel and positive Phelan."
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. He also says "weakness of his thenar musculature."
- 22 Now, you know from sitting here that that's this
- 23 muscle under your thumb, right?
- 24 Α. Okay.
- 25 Yes? Q.

If you say so, yeah.

- You don't have to take my word for anything. Do you 2 Q.
- 3 understand that the thenar musculature is this muscle under the
- 4 thumb?

- Okay. I understand now. 5
- And do you see where it says, following that, "And his long 6
- 7 flexors to the little finger." You see that? I'll point it
- 8 out here.
- 9 Yes, I see that. Α.
- 10 Now, Mr. Giladi, the long -- little finger is part of the
- 11 ulnar distribution, as you understand it, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. And it's not half the ulnar distribution. The whole finger
- 14 is in the ulnar distribution; true?
- 15 A. Can you repeat, please? Can you repeat the question,
- 16 please?
- 17 Q. Not just half the little finger, but the whole finger is in
- 18 the ulnar nerve distribution as you understand it, correct?
- That's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 So that according to this note, Dr. Strauch found that you
- 21 had weakness in that finger, correct?
- 22 Α. It's what the note said.
- 23 Now, shortly after that in September, September 4th, 1991,
- 24 you had another visit with Dr. Strauch, correct?
- 25 Α. That's correct.

1cdzgil4a

Giladi - cross

- And at that time you asked him for a letter? 1
- That's correct. 2 Α.
- 3 True? 0.
- As the note said, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 The note reflects that you asked him for a letter, right? Ο.
- Yes. 6 Α.
- 7 Do you have any reason to doubt that?
- 8 Α. No.
- 9 And, in fact, Dr. Strauch complied with your request and he Ο. 10 gave you a letter on July 3rd -- I'm sorry, let me back up a
- 11 little bit.
- 12 You saw Dr. Strauch on July 19th, 1991, and on
- 13 July 30th, 1991 he gave you a letter concerning your service in
- 14 the military?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 0. Right?
- 17 Yes, with regard to the carpal tunnel syndrome.
- 18 Similar to the type of letter you got from Dr. Kaplan years
- before? 19
- 20 That's correct. Α.
- 21 Q. True?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 And that letter that Dr. Strauch gave you, and I'll put it
- 24 up on the Elmo in a second, that letter was something like you
- 25 had asked of Dr. Kaplan, something that you needed to avoid

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being called to military service because of your physical complaints and your physical condition?

MR. DINHOFER: He just asked that two questions ago.

THE COURT: It's okay for clarification.

- A. First of all, this letter was not to avoid military service.
- I don't think I said avoid, but let me rephrase the question then.

The letters that you asked for of Dr. Kaplan and Dr. Strauch, those letters were to be turned over by you to military authorities in Israel in the event that you were called upon to provide some military service in and around the time that these letters were written?

- 14 Α. Yeah.
 - Q. True?
- This letter is to give my superior pictures in what kind of 16 17 duty can be fit with the condition I was at the time.
 - Q. And Mr. Giladi, if you knew -- withdrawn. These -withdrawn.

This particular letter -- and I'm putting it on the screens now, part of Exhibit two, plaintiff's Exhibit two -- do you see in the second paragraph, which is only a sentence long, the same reference to weakness in the long flexors to the little finger?

This is Dr. Strauch's examination. It's not my complaint.

Q. Well, did you tell Dr. Strauch, wait a second, I don't have any problem with my little finger, I can't bring this to the Israeli army; did you say that?

MR. DINHOFER: Objection. That's not at all what the report says. He's mischaracterizing the document in evidence. Doesn't say there is a problem with the little finger. It says there is a problem with the long flexor muscle to the little finger. That's the name of the muscle, not the finger.

MR. HEUBEL: I'll withdraw and rephrase.

THE COURT: Rephrase the question.

- Q. Mr. Giladi, did you tell anyone in the military that this is incorrect, that you do not have weakness in the little finger?
- MR. DINHOFER: Objection. Again, it's mischaracterizing. It doesn't say it has weakness in the little finger. It says weakness to the long flexor of the little finger.
- Q. Mr. Giladi, did you read this letter when Dr. Strauch gave it to you?
- A. I read his letter. The letter said, on physical examination. This is not my word. This is Doctor impression.

 And I cannot argue with what the Doctor's writing with regard to his examination. This is what he's writing his examination.

I cannot -- if the doctors in the army got this letter and if he's like to confirm it, he has to, he was to confirm

- Giladi cross
- This is the army to decide. Said very clear, on physical 1
- examination. Did not say that the patient notify me or told 2
- 3 me. So if I did not say it, it's not my word. It's the doctor
- examination. This is what the doctor feel. 4
- 5 Q. So the answer to my question is, no, you didn't tell anyone
- 6 that there was an error in that letter?
- 7 I do not know there is error or not. This is doctor
- 8 examination. How can I say that? The doctor did wrote an
- 9 examination.
- 10 The reason that you didn't object to it is because you knew
- 11 that you had weakness and numbness in the ulnar distribution
- 12 even before you went to see the doctor?
- 13 A. This is, this is your interpretation, not mine, and I never
- 14 said that.
- 15 Q. Now, Dr. Strauch -- you told us the other day, when you
- testified initially, that when you had your first postoperative 16
- 17 visit to Dr. Strauch's office, that he wouldn't give you a
- 18 letter documenting that you were sick, not in condition to go
- to work; do you remember that? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Now, at the time you saw Dr. Strauch that day, your arm was
- 22 still in a cast, right?
- 23 Α. Absolutely.
- 24 And you said that you complained to him of pain, right? Ο.
- 25 I complained from the minute I woke up from surgery. Α.

4

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And you asked Dr. Strauch to give you a letter about that, a note?
 - A. No, I did not say a letter. I said I need I need a form for my boss that I cannot perform my job, my work duty, and I
- 5 need to be excused.
- Q. Okay. So you needed documentation from Dr. Strauch about your work and your inability to perform it, right?
 - A. He's the surgeon, he's the surgeon. He's the only one can give it to me.
- 10 Q. Well, that's what you asked him for, right?
- 11 A. Based on my conversation with him, he supposed to have it
 12 ready for next Wednesday, because this is what he promise me
 13 before surgery. It was not done.
- 14 Q. Now you're saying that you asked for it before surgery?
 - A. This is routinely he's supposed to give it to me on discharge date. When he discharge me from the hospital, the form of discharge said, not to return to work. This one was not in the discharge form.
 - The next thing I believe it should be when I come to his office. This is not then either. So I had to ask him for the paper.
- Q. Well, when Doctor -- when you asked Dr. Strauch for the letter for the military in July, he gave you that, didn't he?
- 24 A. When did I ask him how long it took?
- Q. I don't know. He gave it to you, didn't he?

7

8

9

- He also gave me the disability form when he give it to me.
- 2 He also gave you three forms to continue your disability Q.
- 3 longer at the time?
- 4 Gave it to me three weeks after the fact. Α.
- 5 And he also gave you another letter later on with respect 6 to the military; true?
 - Because he know that I cannot do the service. He knew exactly that I have a problem with my hand and I can not do the service.
 - And he willingly gave you a letter for that; true?
- 11 He gave me a letter, but he also wrote that, that I have a
- 12 transposition. Then -- and this is very risky for me to say
- 13 that I have a transposition. Because if I will say that I want
- 14 to do army and they give me a duty that, that I will be in
- 15 administrative work, not as a combat, but I will be going with
- the force into the enemy area, and I got injured, and they need 16
- 17 to do an IV, then I gone to start work on my left hand or I
- 18 need to do anything. In that opinion, my nerve is moved
- 19 from -- moved. So this going also to confuse the medical
- 20 facility in the army, no? So his letter that he give me was a
- 21 false letter.
- 22 Q. Now, Mr. Giladi, there came a time, you told us earlier
- 23 this morning, that you took five weeks vacation in Israel?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 As you said, to get some answers with respect to your --

- With a combination of seeing my family at the time also, 1
- but at the time I'm seeing my family, I'm doing investigation 2
- 3 about seeing a physician, sure.
- 4 And you told us that you took that vacation starting
- 5 sometime in November?
- A. I do not know I said exactly. I know that I took it and I 6
- 7 came back in January. That's the only thing I remember.
- Q. So do you recall telling us this morning, Mr. Giladi, that 8
- 9 you took that vacation sometime starting in November or
- December of 1992? 10
- I said I took it either the end of November or beginning of 11
- 12 December, and I came back to the United States in January.
- 13 Okay. So we're in agreement then? 0.
- 14 Absolutely. Α.
- 15 Q. You left in November or December.
- Now, the last visit that you had with Dr. Strauch, 16
- 17 before you left on this vacation was in October of 1992,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 (Continued on next page)

22

23

24

- Q. And isn't it a fact, Mr. Giladi, that Dr. Strauch told you that he had not transposed the ulnar nerve before you ever went on that vacation?
 - A. It is not true. He implied one time which make me assume that this is the situation. When I came to him to his office and he told me that I am laying down, laying on my nerve. This implied that the nerve was not being transposed. Then three weeks later he was giving me a letter saying that the nerve was being transposed. So now my question is, the nerve is being transposed or not being transposed. On the one hand the doctor implying that the nerve was being transposed, tell me that the nerve was not being transposed, on the other hand, he's implying that it is not. When I asked him clearly —
- MR. DINHOFER: Your Honor, he was still answering. He was interrupted.
- A. If the doctor told me clearly, I never transposed the nerve and he will tell me that we had the problem in the OR, the nerve was being niched and we did not transpose the nerve, as I said before we would not be sitting here. I would go to immediately take care of the problem and take care of the problem. This was never been done.
- O. And --

Q. My question to you --

- MR. DINHOFER: Let him finish.
- 25 THE COURT: The question is, isn't it a fact that

2

5

15

16

17

- Dr. Strauch had told you he had not transposed the ulnar nerve before you went on that vacation.
- 3 A. By this, word by word, I did not transpose the nerve, he never said it. 4
 - And if he had, as you said, we wouldn't be here, right?
- Because I will be taking care of my body and I'm not going 6 7 to be in a situation which I have today.
- And there would be no basis for this lawsuit, right? 8
- 9 No, that's not what I'm -- the lawsuit is not based on 10 transposed or not transposed. This is not the case.
- 11 So that doesn't matter.
- 12 Α. What?
- 13 So it doesn't matter whether it was transposed or not 0. 14 transposed?
 - What's important is that he injured the nerve, concealed Α. the fact and lied to me over two years and told me over two years, a year and a half, two years, that on a regular basis that the nerve was transposed.
- 19 Well, let me ask you this. Q.
- 20 But the point is he never told me the nerve was severanced.
- 21 He -- if he told me that I will go for surgery immediately to 22 fix it.
- 23 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, could he be asked -- I don't care 24 how long it takes to answer the question, as long as we're 25 answering the question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

Giladi - cross

MR. DINHOFER: But the objection is still an interruption of the finishing of the answer, your Honor.

THE COURT: As long as he's finishing the answer and answering the question. He shouldn't be answering other questions, nonresponsive questions.

MR. DINHOFER: In good faith, he is answering the question, Judge, to the best of his ability.

THE COURT: You may continue.

MR. HEUBEL: Thank you.

- Q. Mr. Giladi, earlier, much earlier, in fact, in June of 1995, you gave your first in a series of depositions in this case, is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And you were represented by counsel at that deposition,
- 16 A. Yes.

true?

- 17 And you spent some amount of time with your attorney 18 preparing for that deposition, correct?
- 19 Could be we were talking, but --Α.
- 20 O. What?
- 21 A. Could be we were talking, but he did not tell me what to 22 say.
- I didn't suggest that he told you what to say. Did I say 23 24 that?
- 25 Repeat your question. Α.

Giladi - cross

- Q. My question was did you spend some time in preparation for that deposition with your attorney?
 - A. Yes. We spent some time in preparation, yes.
- Q. And you understood when you gave that deposition that you were under oath, correct?
- 6 | A. Yes.

3

7

8

14

- Q. And you knew that everything you said was being recorded, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Do you remember at page 210 --
- MR. DINHOFER: One second.
- Q. You were asked this question and giving this answer, beginning at line 20.
 - MR. DINHOFER: One second, please. How far are you planning on going?
- 16 MR. HEUBEL: Line 20, page 210.
- 17 MR. DINHOFER: To where?
- 18 MR. HEUBEL: To the top, the end of the question.
- 19 Q. So, do you remember, Mr. Giladi, being asked this question:
- 20 | "Q. Why don't you tell me what happened, then, over the
- 21 | balance of your visits with Dr. Strauch as best you can in
- 22 | chronological order.
- 23 | "A. Okay. On one of the visits I asked Dr. Strauch why I have
- 24 | the pain in the back of my elbow when the nerve was being
- 25 | transposed and moved to the front. And he told me that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transposition never took place."

MR. DINHOFER: I have an objection, your Honor. you read this section in its full context in quotation it's clear that what the question is referring to is the later conversation in January of '93 that my client testified to and not the conversation immediately postoperative.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, he's free to read whatever he wants --

MR. DINHOFER: It's misleading. As counsel pointed out --

THE COURT: I can't tell from the context.

MR. DINHOFER: Maybe we should have a sidebar, your Honor.

MR. HEUBEL: Your Honor, he just told --

THE COURT: He can clarify it.

MR. HEUBEL: Could I have an answer to my question, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

A. Yes, I think today during my testimony I clearly indicated that when I came back from Israel with the EMG report from Dr. Sadeh I asked Dr. Strauch regarding the transposition and he took his notes and he told me that based on his office notes the nerve was not being transposed, but based on the surgical report the nerve was transposed. And it's not any contradiction to what this note, what happened during my

order.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

Giladi - cross

deposition. He did say, but he never, he never confirmed that 1 2 he said. He said on one hand, one record said yes, one record 3 This why I went to Dr. Spinner and this is why I went

to Dr. Rousso and this is why I went to Dr. Beasley.

- Q. My question was, Mr. Giladi, were you asked this question: "Why don't you tell me what happened, then, over the balance of the visits with Dr. Strauch as best you can in chronological
 - "A. Okay. On one of the visits I asked Dr. Strauch why I have pain in the back of my elbow when the nerve was being transposed and moved to the front and he told me that the transposition never took place."

13 Did you say that answer to that question or not?

- I just said now that on one of the visits --Α.
- Q. I'm not asking what you said now.
- On one of the visits --16 Α.
 - MR. HEUBEL: Judge, could I have an answer to my question?
 - MR. DINHOFER: He keeps interrupting. He's trying to answer and Mr. Giladi keeps talking over --
 - THE COURT: Just stop, stop. You may answer the question.
- 23 I said it very clear today during the first part of my 24 testimony when my lawyer asked me the questions and I said very 25 clear when I came back from overseas with the EMG report,

Dr. Strauch gave me two answers in one breath. On one hand he 1

- said it was done. On the other hand he said it was not done.
- 3 This why I start to seek for medical opinion of more doctors
- 4 like Dr. Spinner, Dr. Beasley, Dr. Rousso.
- 5 I never say he did not say it. He say it but he did
- 6 not confirm it. He never said to me clear your nerve was not
- 7 transposed because we had a problem and we injured your nerve.
- He never said that. He never said that the nerve was 8
- 9 transposed or not transposed. He said the nerve was being
- 10 transposed on one hand. The report said transposed, my notes
- 11 said not transposed. So yes, he said not transposed, but he
- 12 also said transposed. He said both.
- 13 Are you finished? Ο.
- 14 But this note saying yes, he did say that, but I did not
- 15 say here everything that he said like I said it it today.
- Now, do you remember being asked this question, Mr. Giladi, 16
- 17 at page 212, line 15 to the following page, line 9.
- "Q. After this discussion with Dr. Strauch about the 18
- transposition, what's the next thing you remember happening? 19
- 20 I believe before December of 1992 I told that to him."
- 21 A. As I said, as I said before --
- 22 MR. DINHOFER: Excuse me, that was not what the
- 23 deposition said. It said not "that is what I told to him" but
- 24 it said -- you misread it.
- 25 MR. HEUBEL: I'll read it again.

2

3

MR. DINHOFER: "I told him that."

- "I believe before December of 1992 I told him that." Q.
- What did I tell him? Α.
- 4 MR. DINHOFER: There's more to the response.
- 5 I'll continue. "He said with time things will be better 6 and it's already almost a year and things are the same. How
- 7 much longer do I have to wait until things will be better
- because I am very frustrated and I would like to know what to 8
- 9 do. He told me that everything is in my head and I have no
- 10 problem at this time."
- 11 Do you remember being asked that question and giving
- 12 those answers? That answer.
- 13 A. Yes, and because he told me that everything is in my head I
- 14 took the time off.
- Q. So the discussion when Dr. Strauch told you that he had not 15
- transposed the nerve took place before you went on vacation in 16
- 17 November or December of 1992, correct?
- 18 A. No. If you recall, if you recall the question that I was
- 19 being asked by defense attorney prior to my answer, he asked me
- 20 what happened to the rest of the time. I said very clear
- 21 before --
- 22 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, may I ask that the witness --
- 23 MR. DINHOFER: He's answering.
- 24 THE COURT: Please, stop.
- 25 MR. DINHOFER: He keeps interrupting the answer.

THE COURT: Please focus on the question. So the discussion when Dr. Strauch told you that he had not transposed the nerve took place before you went on vacation between November and December of '92, correct?

THE WITNESS: I still do not understand what he try to get from me. I am trying to respond to the line of questions that he's asking. Everything is being taken out of contact. It was all this line of questioning started with the question, when I responded, I was being asked to say what I recall not in a chronological order, and I was just talking best of my memory what's happening at the time.

THE COURT: Okay, but try not to focus on the line of questions. It's cross-examination and you have to answer a specific question that counsel asked.

THE WITNESS: I will do my best, your Honor.

- Q. So my question was, do you remember that the discussion that you testified about at your deposition where Dr. Strauch told you that he had not transposed the nerve happened before December of 1992? Yes or no?
- A. As I said minutes ago, he never told me clear before

 December, December, as I said before. Everything that I said

 there is a mix of my recollections. I did not put time line

 there. I was just talking in general and I was changing gears

 from one location to another.

I took the five weeks vacation because he told me that

- 1 | I am hypochondriac and I said that this morning, too.
- 2 Q. Mr. Giladi, you stated in your deposition that Dr. Strauch
- 3 | told you that he had not transposed the nerve, correct? At
- 4 some point that's what he told you.
- 5 A. Yes, in January --
- 6 Q. And you also said in response to this question, line 15 of
- 7 | 212, "After this discussion with Dr. Strauch about the
- 8 | transposition, what's the next thing you remember happening?"
- 9 | "A. I believe before December of 1992 I told him that."
 - So this discussion about the ulnar nerve happened
- 11 before December of 1992, correct?
- MR. DINHOFER: Your Honor, this is now out of
- 13 context --

- 14 THE COURT: Counsel, counsel. I don't want speaking
- 15 | objections.
- MR. DINHOFER: May we approach at the sidebar now,
- because this is definitely out of context now. If you read the
- 18 | questions before --
- 19 THE COURT: Shh. Shh. Shh.
- 20 MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I'll be happy to read the entire
- 21 thing.
- 22 THE COURT: Sidebar.
- 23 (Continued on next page)
- 24
- 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the side bar)

THE COURT: Let me see --

MR. DINHOFER: If you read the questions before, he's talking about when he went to work and that's why he's saying in '92 he told him that. It's totally out of context.

THE COURT: Which line were you reading?

MR. HEUBEL: I started --

MR. DINHOFER: It was this line here he was reading, and I was talking about what happened before. Do you need glasses?

MR. HEUBEL: It was 210, line 20, to 213, line 7.

MR. DINHOFER: Where I made the down arrows, the specific part he was just reading right now, Judge, it's totally out of context. That's what he read before that I'm going to come back to that's out of context.

MR. HEUBEL: It's clearly one discussion, Judge.

MR. DINHOFER: This transcript, by the way, goes across and then across. Doesn't go up and down like the other ones.

THE COURT: I don't understand why it's out of context.

MR. HEUBEL: It's clearly one discussion.

MR. DINHOFER: He's saying I told him that in December '92, but first this question here about going back to work and what was going on at work in December of '92 and not about the

conversations he had with Dr. Strauch about the ulnar nerve transposition not being done and it's mischaracterizing the testimony in every which way by that. THE COURT: I disagree. MR. HEUBEL: Absolutely not. MR. DINHOFER: It's totally off point in characterizing what that response is in response to. THE COURT: I don't think so. You can clarify it on redirect. MR. DINHOFER: I surely will. (Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court; jury present)

BY MR. HEUBEL:

Q. Mr. Giladi, would you agree with me that if you had this discussion with Dr. Strauch where you say that he had told you that he had not transposed the nerve, that if you had that conversation before you went on vacation in or around November, 1992, then you knew when you left for Israel and when you met with Dr. Sadeh and when you met with Dr. Rousso that your ulnar nerve had not been transposed, correct? And I'd like a yes or no answer to that question.

MR. DINHOFER: Objection, your Honor.

Q. Or you can tell me otherwise if you can't answer it that way.

> Thank you. MR. DINHOFER:

At first I may used a wrong word during my deposition and what I've been trying to say that he implied --

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, if I may interrupt --

MR. DINHOFER: Excuse me.

MR. HEUBEL: It's a simple question. If the discussion was had before you went on vacation, then he knew before he spoke to Rousso and before he spoke to, rather, before -- right, and before he spoke to Sadeh that his ulnar nerve had been transposed.

> THE COURT: Can the witness answer the question? MR. DINHOFER: He was answering. This is a chronic

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

'GIL5 Giladi - cross

thing. He keeps objecting in the middle of the witness' answer as a pretext.

THE COURT: And you keep speaking when you shouldn't.

MR. DINHOFER: Because it's frustrating to hear him do this and not let the witness answer.

THE COURT: There you go again.

Can the witness answer the question?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

- A. I know that the nerve was not being transposed not by Dr. Strauch, by Dr. Goodrich, who implied that it is a possibility that that nerve has not been transposed and the nerve has been injured.
- Q. And you saw Dr. Goodrich before you went on vacation, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Thank you.

Now, Mr. Giladi, when you eventually met with, at some point you told us, and we saw some notes of Dr. Goodrich to this effect, that you had scheduled a surgery with respect to your ulnar nerve in November, I think November 11 of 2011 -- 1992, correct?

- A. 1993.
- 24 | Q. 1993? I'm sorry.
- MR. HEUBEL: Excuse me just a moment, Judge.

7

(Pause)

- Now, Mr. Giladi, after you had the -- by the way, between 2
- 3 Dr. Strauch's surgery and the surgery by Dr. Rousso in April of
- 1994, you treated the pain in your elbow that you told us about 4
- 5 with over the counter pain medication, true?
- Mostly, yes. 6 Α.
 - Tylenol, Motrin, things like that, correct?
- 8 Α. Yeah, without --
- 9 Once you got out of the hospital, is that correct?
- 10 Maybe once or twice I got prescription, but not on a
- 11 regular basis.
- So over the course of that time from December of 2001, once 12
- 13 you were discharged from the hospital until April of 2004, you
- 14 treated yourself with a couple of exceptions solely with over
- 15 the counter pain medications like Tylenol and Motrin, right?
- What my physician provide me with, that's what I had to do. 16
- 17 And that was true of your physician Dr. Strauch or any of
- 18 the other physicians you went to with the possible exception of
- 19 a couple of times, right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And after Dr. Rousso performed the surgery and since that
- 22 time you remarried, correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 You met your wife, socialized, you met her? Ο.
- 25 I'm not saying I socialized. I just got -- I got married. Α.

- I said very clear I'm not socialized the way I used to. 1
- I'm not asking you if it was the way you used to, but it 2 Ο.
- 3 was enough to meet someone and get married, correct?
 - Α. Yes.

- And from that marriage, you since that time have had two 5
- children, correct? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Now, you told us that your relationship with your children 8
- 9 from the prior marriage is somewhat strained? Some problems
- 10 there, that you attribute to your elbow pain? Is that correct?
- 11 A. Put it this way: In every divorce you have a problem.
- 12 Sometime parents try to work very hard to resolve this problem.
- 13 When they have one party looking for some issues to create
- 14 problem, you try to avoid it. In my situation, I couldn't
- 15 avoid it if my ex-wife tried to alienate the kids from me and
- she have a good reason, your father's not coming, your father 16
- 17 said he would be here at 6:00, at 10:00, he can't make it, he's
- not coming. Because I called and said I cannot drive, I cannot 18
- come because of my pain, and this is creating, giving 19
- 20 ammunition against me to the kids, who as of today are blaming
- 21 me for that time because I did not want to come.
- 22 Q. Mr. Giladi there was a time and you told us earlier that
- 23 you came to understand that your wife had stabbed you, correct?
- 24 Did you tell us that?
- 25 Yes, it was in '87. Α.

4

7

8

9

10

- And that she had some hostility towards you, correct?
- I'm not denying it. 2 Α.
- I'm sure you're not, because you told us about that. 3 0.
 - I'm not denying it. Α.
- 5 And subsequent to that, according to Dr. Strauch's records -- excuse me, I'll get to it in a second. 6

Was there a time, Mr. Giladi, when you told Dr. Strauch that your wife had traumatized your left upper extremity, your left arm?

- It's not exactly what I told him. Α.
- Did you tell him something in sum and substance like that? 11
- 12 I told him that she was trying to hurt my hand because she
- 13 know this hand is very sensitive. She grabbed me in my hand
- 14 and when I said my hand, usually I talk about this area, and I
- 15 was able, and I was just moved away from her and this is what
- happened. And I told him that I need to know what's going on 16
- 17 and I need to have help, because people, she's using it against
- me. This is what I told him. I just give him another issue to 18
- raise to him that my condition is not a proper condition and I 19
- 20 have, I'm not functioning normal and I cannot deal with on a
- 21 daily basis with things that are happening and people are using
- 22 this against me.
- 23 Q. Mr. Giladi, eventually you were involved in a divorce
- 24 action with your wife, correct?
- 25 This is before that. Α.

4

- This was before that? 0.
- My divorce was over before my surgery. 2 Α.
- 3 Your divorce ended in 1990, right? 0.
 - That's correct. Α.
 - And there was also a custody battle as part of that, true?
- 6 Yes, and we have joint custody. Α.
- 7 And do you think that perhaps your children suffered
- because of that and that some of your relationship with them is 8
- 9 affected by those times?
- 10 Before my surgery I had a relationship with my kids. I
- 11 used to go with him to the swimming pool. He endured some
- 12 issues, but today when I speak with my older son he constantly
- 13 remind me of the period where I used to call and not to show
- 14 up, after my surgery. Today as a physician he understand, this
- 15 is why I get along with him very well. The youngest maybe in a
- few years he will understand, but in the meantime he doesn't 16
- 17 want to understand it.
- Since you mention it, Mr. Giladi, you can drive, right? 18
- Not in a big -- not in the beginning and every time -- and 19
- 20 I did my driving limited to the point only when necessary and
- 21 mandatory. I used to go to work by train and buses. I used to
- 22 use the car only when I had a location shoot when I had to rent
- 23 equipment, take it to work and return it. For many years I did
- 24 not even own the car because I do not drive. Whenever I need
- 25 to have a car I used to borrow from a friend or rent.

1CDFGIL5

- Mr. Giladi, you can drive today, right?
- I drive with some limitation. I need to have a wide car. 2 Α.
- 3 I cannot drive with small cars. I need to have space for my
- 4 elbow.

- 5 But you can drive, that's my question.
- What? 6 Α.
- 7 You can drive, can you not?
- A person with impotent hand can drive too. 8
- 9 In fact, in July of this year you drove from your home in
- 10 New Jersey to a physical examination in Manhattan by
- 11 Dr. Posner, correct?
- 12 No, I did not drive.
- 13 And you drove back --0.
- Yes, I drove, you're right. 14 Α.
- 15 Q. Of course I'm right.
- MR. DINHOFER: Objection. 16
- 17 And you drove back, too? Ο.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 You drove back too, right?
- 20 20 minutes ride and I have a wide car and comfortable car
- 21 and I spend extra money because of my medical condition.
- 22 have kids. I have kids to take care of and somebody have to
- 23 take care of them and I need the car.
- 24 By the way --0.
- 25 And I said earlier, I try to live my life as somebody who

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

- have no disability. I do my best to take care of myself, to take care of my family.
- Q. And at the present time, Mr. Giladi, you still continue to use only over the counter medications to treat whatever pain you have in your elbow, true?
- Not always. 6 Α.
 - True? Most of the time?
 - I'm not seeing a physician on a regular basis.
 - So you can't, so you haven't gotten prescriptions for pain 0. medication other than -- you haven't gotten prescriptions for pain medications because you're not seeing physicians currently, right?
- 13 I'm not taking medication for a reason that, my condition Α. 14 is a chronic pain at the moment, which used to -- what I had in
- 15 the beginning, it was a pain due to injury. After six years,
- seven years and Dr. Rousso said it very clear, my condition 16
- 17 began to be a chronic. Chronic pain is something that will
- 18 stay with you forever. I --
- Q. My question only was, Judge, whether he's taking other than 19 20 over the counter pain medication at the present time.
- 21 THE COURT: That is the question.
- 22 Isn't that correct, that you're taking only over the 23 counter pain medication at the present time?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And, Mr. Giladi, you've done a lot of traveling by airplane

Giladi - cross

- 1 from outside the country and within the United States since you
- 2 | had your surgery by Dr. Rousso, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. You go back to Israel frequently, is that right?
- 5 A. When you say frequently, can you specify what it is
- 6 frequently for you.
- 7 | Q. Ten times a year?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- 9 Q. Five times a year?
- 10 A. How many?
- 11 | Q. Five?
- 12 | A. Absolutely not.
- 13 | Q. Have you traveled to Las Vegas?
- 14 A. Give a period.
- 15 Q. In 2000?
- 16 | A. Hmm?
- 17 | 0. 2000, 2003, 2004, 2005. In the period from 2000 to 2010,
- 18 you've done a fair amount of traveling outside the country and
- 19 | within the country by airline, correct?
- 20 A. Just to let you know, since the beginning of this year I
- 21 | did not travel to Israel at all.
- 22 | THE COURT: That doesn't answer the question.
- 23 | A. I don't have a list of how many times I travel. My
- 24 | traveling is limited in some way and sometime I went more,
- 25 sometimes I went less, but every time I travel with an

Giladi - cross

- airplane, I took medication. 1
- Q. But you managed to travel, true? Sometimes for vacation, 2
- 3 sometimes to visit family, right?
- I'm not denying that I'm trying to function as a human 4
- 5 being.
- Q. And I think you told us on the first day that you're the 6
- 7 primary caregiver at home?
- A. Yes, I do. 8
- 9 Q. And does that mean that you get to spend a lot of time with
- 10 your kids?
- 11 A. A lot of time between schools and sleep. A few hours a
- 12 day, yes.
- 13 MR. HEUBEL: Nothing further, Judge. Thank you.
- 14 MR. DINHOFER: I'm not going to be long, Judge.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. Redirect.
- MR. HEUBEL: I'll leave the exhibits up there. 16
- 17 MR. DINHOFER: Thank you.
- REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18
- BY MR. DINHOFER: 19
- 20 Q. Mr. Giladi, when you travel by air, do you take any special
- 21 precaution in terms of the seating that you have on the
- 22 airlines?
- 23 I make sure that my seat will be to the window, I
- 24 make sure to the window that I sit will be to my left -- that
- 25 no people will be to my left side.

- 1 Q. Why is that?
- To protect my elbow because any touch of my elbow will 2 Α.
- 3 create pain and electric shock to the fingers.
- Now, Mr. Heubel started questioning you you with references 4 Q.
- 5 to Dr. Spinner. Do you remember that questioning?
- Α. Mm-hmm. 6
- 7 And he was questioning you about complaints that you had.
- Did you offer any complaints to your little finger? 8
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Were you offering complaints to any other part of your
- 11 hand?
- 12 A. If I complain anything, I complained about finger one, two
- 13 and three, maybe, but again, most of my complain about the
- 14 biceps.
- 15 Q. Okay. You were complaining about the biceps from the
- anesthesia problem you had? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. When it said in I think Dr. Kaplan's records that you were 18
- having a problem from the elbow to shoulder, is that what he's 19
- 20 referring to?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. At any time did Dr. Spinner give you any kind of treatment
- 23 for your elbow?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Did Dr. Kaplan give you any treatment for your elbow?

- Α. No.

- Now, when you went to see Dr. Strauch, counsel made a big 2
- 3 point about the long flexor of the little finger. Did you say
- 4 to Dr. Strauch I have a problem with my long flexor of my
- 5 little finger?
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 Is it only through this litigation that you came to
- understand that there is such a muscle called long flexor of 8
- 9 the little finger?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 0. I'm sorry?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 In fact, can we agree that when you went to Dr. Strauch you 0.
- 14 never complained initially about any problem with your little
- 15 finger?
- A. No, I complained -- my complaint was only about finger one, 16
- 17 two and three, after prolong time of work and up through the
- wrist. 18 That was it.
- Q. And when you were complaining about weakness in your hand, 19
- 20 where was the weakness that you were complaining about when you
- 21 first went to Dr. Strauch in your hand?
- 22 A. I complain about the weakness in my hand, about the three
- 23 fingers when I'm trying to work, and Dr. Strauch told me that
- 24 the weakness is because of the pain, I don't have any weakness,
- 25 he doesn't find any weakness in my hand. This is what I

Giladi - redirect

- 1 remember. I'm not sure.
- And there were no complaints at that time related to 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 fingers three and four -- four and five, right?
- 4 I did not complain about pain in my elbow or any
- 5 problem in my elbow or problem with these fingers until I woke
- up from surgery. 6
- 7 Q. Now, an issue was made with regard to when you asked
- Dr. Strauch for the letters for the military. Could you tell 8
- 9 us how long from the time when you first asked Dr. Strauch for
- 10 a letter to the military was it until you first received that
- actual letter for the military? 11
- 12 I don't have the date in front of me, but it was not -- I
- 13 don't remember exactly the time, but --
- 14 Q. He didn't, when you first asked him, he didn't run right
- away to a typewriter and type out a letter for you so that you 15
- could have it right away, did he? 16
- 17 No, no. I had to come back later, after a while. Α.
- 18 Did it take a while until you got that letter?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Just like you asked him for the disability forms, it didn't
- 21 come right away, you had to --
- 22 THE COURT: Counsel, try not to ask any leading
- 23 questions.
- 24 Was it the same thing with regard to the disability forms? Ο.
- 25 Disability forms I said before, I came on the 18th, I asked Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

Giladi - redirect

- him for the paper. He told me to go back to my boss, I talked to him, I think it was sometime in January that I received the first disability form.
 - Q. Mr. Heubel tried cross examining you with some portions of your deposition transcript, and he read to you this part where you answered that before December of '92 you told him that.
 - Can I read to you the questions preceding and see if that gives context to what you were talking about in December of '92 when you said "I told him that" to Dr. Strauch? Starting at page 211, line 20.
- 11 "Q. How were you doing on your job?
- 12 "A. Horrible.
- 13 "Q. In what way?
- "A. Difficult to concentrate when you have a lot of pain andyou have to do creative work.
- 16 "Q. Anything else?
- "A. Functioning with my hand. I couldn't function with my hand. I could not operate with my hand.
- 19 "Q. That's your left hand?
- 20 | "A. Yes. My left hand. I cannot operate with that.
- 21 "Q. Did you mention anything else about how you were doing at work?
- "A. This is my best recollection is what I told you. That's all I can remember."
- 25 And this gets to the question you were asked.

"O. After your discussion with Dr. Strauch about the 1 transposition, what's the next thing you remember happening? 2 "A. I believe before December of 1992 I told him that." 3 Having heard the full context of the question and 4 5 answer that led to that response, do you recall what you meant 6 when you said I told him about that? 7 I was talking about functioning at work. You weren't talking about the fact that there was any kind 8 9 of transposition in the questions leading up to that, were you? 10 Α. No. 11 MR. DINHOFER: I have nothing further, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's all for this witness? 12 13 MR. DINHOFER: That's it. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Giladi, you may step down. 15 (Witness excused) THE COURT: Shall we take a five-minute break? Okay, 16 17 let's take a five-minute break. 18 (Jury excused) 19 (Continued on next page) 20 21 22 23 24

(In open court; jury not present) 1 THE COURT: Is that it for plaintiff's case? 2 3 MR. DINHOFER: Let me talk with my client and see if 4 there's anything else we need to cover. I'm drawing a blank at 5 this second. Can I fall over when I say I rest? Literally? 6 THE COURT: Mr. Heubel -- am I saying your name right? 7 I've always said Heubel, is it Heubel? MR. HEUBEL: I stopped directing people because it 8 9 gets worse than that. But it's Heubel. I think you started 10 out correctly. 11 MR. DINHOFER: I say Gerry. 12 THE COURT: I'm not going to say Gerry, I'll say 13 Mr. Heubel, on the assumption that plaintiff rests, Heubel. 14 you said you had a couple of applications. 15 MR. HEUBEL: Yes. THE COURT: Do you want to preview those? 16 17 MR. HEUBEL: Yes. I'm going to move to dismiss as to 18 Dr. Sterman. I'm going to renew my application to deny punitive damages in the case, as well as with respect to the 19 20 physician defendants and the hospital defendant and that's 21 about it. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. HEUBEL: And generally to dismiss the failure to 24 make a prima facie case.

THE COURT: So a directed verdict essentially, or

dismissal. Dismissal.

MR. HEUBEL: For the whole case and specifically as to Dr. Sterman and the hospital and then the punitive damages aspect of the case again. I think that -- well, I won't argue again.

THE COURT: One question, this is sort of out of the blue, but one question I have is did Dr. Sterman live in New Jersey when this case was filed? In 1994.

MR. HEUBEL: Yes.

THE COURT: And --

MR. DINHOFER: Plaintiff was living in Israel when the case was filed.

THE COURT: Was the plaintiff living in Israel or visiting Israel with his brother and mother?

MR. DINHOFER: His testimony was he lost everything here and he couldn't afford to live here so he was living there with his brother and mother, and he lived there until August at which point he had the surgery with Dr. Rousso at which point he came back to the United States to try it again.

THE COURT: So when the case was filed he was living in Israel with the intent to stay there?

MR. DINHOFER: That's correct.

THE COURT: Because if he was a resident of New Jersey and defendant was a resident of New Jersey, there ain't no diversity jurisdiction.

MR. DINHOFER: I understand that, and he was very clear that he was living with the intent to remain in Israel at the time. That's exactly — he was treating, he had his surgery, he was staying there, he was treating, doing his therapy and living his life there.

THE COURT: Okay. And I wanted to ask Mr. Heubel, on a motion for mistrial relating to punitive damages, not getting to the merits of punitive damages --

MR. DINHOFER: Not a mistrial?

THE COURT: You previously moved for a mistrial, right?

MR. HEUBEL: I previously moved based on the fact the doctors didn't have sufficient notice to retain counsel to represent their uninsured interests in the case.

THE COURT: Why would they need separate counsel?

MR. HEUBEL: Because as counsel for the insureds, I'm

retained to represent them as to their insured interests.

THE COURT: But there's no divergence of interest as to punitive damages, is there?

THE COURT: Well, there is enough that the defendants were notified by their insurance company that they -- I don't know the wording of the letter directly, but concerning retaining separate counsel for their uninsured interests. And that generally is what happens.

THE COURT: Yes, I've seen that.

MR. DINHOFER: That's actually a matter of the client's bad faith action against the defense counsel who failed to advise them that ten years ago we were claiming punitive damages, that if there was some damage that accrued to Dr. Strauch or Dr. Sterman because they weren't advised, then they may have a claim against Parker O'Donald who was representing them at the time or attorneys who filed the claim at that time and they failed to act on that. Once they had the notice that I gave them in the pretrial orders that we did and the drafts that we did back in early 2000 or 2001, at that point that information should have been communicated by those attorneys and that's all that relates to is that possible bad faith claim that they may have should a verdict come in for punitive damages as against their former attorneys.

THE COURT: When you say notice ten years ago, you mean the proposed jury instructions on punitive damages to which the defendants objected?

MR. DINHOFER: But they still had notice nonetheless that I was claiming it as part of this case and the law is clear that I do not have to plead it.

THE COURT: It's true you don't have to plead it. You have to plead sufficient facts in the complaint giving notice to a factual basis for punitive damages. You don't have to use the words "punitive damages" as a cause of action.

In any event, I wanted a little clarification of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If plaintiff rests now, would it be possible to proceed with your remaining witnesses and then we can take up the motions after letting the jury go? In other words, holding the motions or applications in abeyance for an hour, a little more than an hour?

MR. DINHOFER: Given the jury's express desires, that would be smart.

MR. HEUBEL: I understand why the Court wants to do that, but I feel compelled to ask the Court to address those rulings before I proceed with my case in chief.

THE COURT: I appreciate that you feel you have to request that, but I don't really see the prejudice in proceeding. There's no -- I don't think there's any prejudice with having you do those additional witnesses and I'll consider the applications in an hour and twenty minutes.

MR. DINHOFER: Judge --

MR. HEUBEL: If your Honor were to resolve the punitive damages question in favor of the defendants, that might make a difference as to my case in chief.

MR. DINHOFER: Most Courts that I've appeared before usually reserve decision on those motions that are made midway through until after the jury renders its verdict in any event because of the fact it avoids the necessity of a retrial in the event there were error in the decision one way or the other.

THE COURT: Well, that's one of the options.

MR. HEUBEL: I understand that you have that option, Judge. However, I think that it's clear enough having heard plaintiff's case that this isn't a case for punitive damages and that continuing the case with the specter of punitive damages is not necessary and complicates the defense unnecessarily.

MR. DINHOFER: Well, the jury knows nothing about punitive damages, so I don't see how it complicates the presentation to the jury.

MR. HEUBEL: In terms of what I ask, who I put on the stand and those types of decisions may differ depending upon whether there's punitive damages left in the case or not. And I think there comes a point, Judge, and when plaintiff has had his opportunity to put in all his proof, where the Court can decide that issue at this juncture.

THE COURT: I could, but I'm inclined to deny these applications without prejudice and complete the evidence and then reconsider them at the end of the evidence or possibly until after a verdict, because I think it does put the record in a better position for any future proceedings.

Let me ask you with respect to the punitive damages issue. There was testimony from Dr. Rousso, in some ways it might have been confusing because there was a lot of different testimony and sometimes it wasn't sort of neatly in the form of an expert report, but there arguably was testimony relating to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the perception of a laceration and also these issues about whether a transposition was done or was not done. What's your response to plaintiff's argument that the failure to inform, if in fact the circumstantial evidence shows that that happened, that this sort of mistake happened and informing the patient about that sooner would have had a positive result and in fact there was some quote-unquote fraudulent concealment of that, why isn't that enough to support an instruction for punitive damages?

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I think that you first have to go to the standard of proof, which for punitive damages is clear and convincing, unequivocal evidence. And there's no way that what we've heard up to this point in the trial through the completion of plaintiff's case that there's anything that's clear and convincing and unequivocal. And I think that then the danger, and the Courts have said that, because what you're actually asking the jury to do is punish somebody for really a public wrong and that it comes close to criminality. So because of that, the standard of proof is especially high and I don't see how the plaintiff can meet that standard. I have some case law with respect to that that I'd be happy to pass up to the Court. The Court may be well aware of that.

THE COURT: The case law does -- there is a high standard, it is gross recklessness, wanton or willful or intentional conduct that warrants punishment.

MR. HEUBEL: But it has to be established not by a preponderance of the evidence, but by clear and convincing proof.

THE COURT: I'll need to check that. I wasn't certain about that.

MR. DINHOFER: Even that would still be a jury issue as to whether or not that threshold were met, and one could very well say that Dr. Rousso's testimony that I went in there and I saw this, is clear and convincing; that I took a photograph of it and I'm pointing it to you in a photograph is clear and convincing, and that's up to the jury to make that decision ultimately as to whether that standard was met just like in every other burden of proof question.

THE COURT: What about the application with respect to Dr. Sterman? What's your basis for that?

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, the basis for that, my motion to dismiss the case as to Dr. Sterman is I think that there's ample evidence in the record so far and in terms of plaintiff making his prima facie case, that in fact plaintiff hasn't. The testimony has been that Dr. Strauch's custom and practice is not to allow residents to cut tissue. Dr. Iriizarry testified to that, Dr. Strauch testified to that, Dr. Sterman testified to that. Additionally, residents in the State of New York operate under the direct supervision of the attending, and according to the case law in New York, as long as they're

operating under the direct supervision of the attending and doing only what he tells them to do or allows them to do, they're not liable, and the reason for that is it really puts a pall on the ability of big teaching institutions to train doctors.

So for all of those reasons, and certainly there's no evidence despite a couple of comments by counsel that Dr. Strauch was not in the operating room supervising this surgery, the evidence is clear that he was doing the surgery and Dr. Sterman was there in his assisting capacity, not involved in neurolysis or decompression of the nerve.

THE COURT: Mr. Dinhofer, it is true that all the testimony was that Dr. Sterman did no cutting.

MR. DINHOFER: That is not correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: What testimony contradicts that?

MR. DINHOFER: There was testimony that I read from Dr. Strauch's deposition where he said the operative report indicates what Dr. Sterman did, number one, and there were twice readings to Dr. Sterman from his deposition where he said this is what I did.

THE COURT: But he clarified what he meant.

MR. HEUBEL: Those were all --

MR. DINHOFER: It's up to the jury as to whether or not they're going to accept his clarifications or not. You're talking about dismissing a cause of action whether or not I've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adduced sufficient evidence to get the case to a jury. Those statements, the three statements combined are sufficient. Dr. Irizarry, contrary to what counsel says, when I read to her from her deposition, readily acknowledged that residents did do cutting. I read that portion to her and she acknowledged that.

MR. HEUBEL: We acknowledge they did cutting and so did they, but it's cutting of sutures, not of tissue.

THE COURT: She did clarify that.

MR. DINHOFER: That's qualification, but that's not what she said at deposition. And the question becomes one of what the jury wants to believe.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge --

MR. DINHOFER: And it's not Dr. Strauch who is responsible, it's the hospital who is actually responsible, that's the respondeat superior theory against the hospital.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr.

MR. HEUBEL: But that's not --

MR. DINHOFER: That's not up to the resident and it's up to the jury to decide who did the actual cutting and I believe it's a question of fact as to whether or not Dr. Strauch was even in the OR, and I think the facts are what went on. Dr. Rousso said a senior surgeon would never have done this, if in fact it had to happen, that there was a cut, a senior surgeon should have been gotten to come back or to come There's enough in there to get the jury on the question of in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether or not Dr. Strauch was even in the OR.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, there's no evidence in this case that Dr. Strauch was not in the OR. I think, your Honor, you can at some point say there is not enough evidence for the jury to decide that Dr. Sterman was involved in any way cutting tissues on this patient. But again, even if he was, he was under the direction, the supervision of Dr. Strauch, and under that situation he's not individually responsible for that.

MR. DINHOFER: But they deny it. They deny that he did it. They can't say he did it under the supervision if they deny that he did it.

MR. HEUBEL: No, I'm saying --

THE COURT: One at a time.

MR. DINHOFER: The question is where does the lie start and with whom? Dr. Strauch clearly said the operative report indicates what Dr. Sterman did. That's enough to raise the triable issue of fact as to whether or not Dr. Sterman did the surgery.

MR. HEUBEL: Aside from the fact I disagree with that, the law is that if he did it, whatever he did, under the supervision of the attending at the surgery, he is not individually responsible.

THE COURT: I believe that is essentially the law.

MR. DINHOFER: But if the lie is that Dr. Strauch was there and Dr. Strauch did the cutting and the jury disregards

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that and accepts the testimony of Dr. Strauch that the operative report indicates what the jury did, that under the doctrine of falsus in unum, which I requested be charged to the jury, then the jury can disregard the entirety and disbelieve the fact that Dr. Strauch was even there at the time. That's their prerogative, your Honor. That's what the inferences permit.

THE COURT: Not when there's so much evidence contrary to that.

MR. DINHOFER: I'm sorry, if it's false, it's false. That's exclusively within the doctrine of the jury. That's the doctrine of falsus in unum.

THE COURT: I think I'm going to take the applications under advisement and proceed.

MR. HEUBEL: Has plaintiff rested, by the way?

THE COURT: Not yet in front of the jury.

MR. DINHOFER: I want to hit the men's room before we start and I said I wanted to have a moment to confer with my client.

THE COURT: So we'll take two minutes.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, can I ask with counsel's permission to speak to the Court separately?

MR. DINHOFER: I don't understand how that's possible.

MR. HEUBEL: Well --

MR. DINHOFER: I mean, if you want to try to discuss

not appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

settlement, if that's your intent or something like that, sure. If it's on the issue of settlement, sure, I'm always in favor of that. But if it's about the conduct of the trial, that's

MR. HEUBEL: I want some assurance that you have rested, you are resting.

MR. DINHOFER: We haven't gotten there yet. The judge asked me before. I want a moment to talk to my client to see if I haven't forgotten something, and I wanted to go to the bathroom. Once I do those two things, I'll give you my answer. I said that very clearly. You might have been talking to your clients when the judge asked me that question.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, I understand your concern for the jury, but I think this might be appropriate, once he has given the assurance that they're going to rest that I have a conversation with you, if it's agreeable to him.

MR. DINHOFER: Ex parte? I can't, unless it's for the purposes of settlement.

THE COURT: Why don't you discuss between vourselves --

MR. DINHOFER: You have to tell me what it's about.

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record)

24 (Recess)

25

1 (In open court; jury not present) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge entering. 2 3 Jury entering. 4 3:43 p.m. 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. THE JURY: Good afternoon. 6 7 THE COURT: You may be seated. Okay, Mr. Dinhofer. 8 9 MR. DINHOFER: Yes, your Honor. Much to everyone's 10 relief, at this time plaintiff rests. 11 THE COURT: Okay, plaintiff has rested. That means 12 that's the end of the plaintiff's case. 13 We took a little longer, because we are working. 14 do have legal issues that come up, as I've told you, and now we 15 have some other legal issues to deal with, so I'm going to let you go early today. But please plan to come in at 9:30 16 17 tomorrow morning, as you did this morning, and we'll continue 18 from there, and hope to be -- hope to be done with the evidence tomorrow, which would mean you'd hopefully begin deliberating 19 20 tomorrow afternoon. 21 Thank you again for your patience and time and 22 concentration on the case. 23 (Jury exits) 24 (In open court; jury not present) 25 THE COURT: Okay, you gentlemen may step out.

1 MR. DINHOFER: Thank you. 2 (Recess) 3 4:30 p.m. 4 THE COURT: Okay, we're back on the record. 5 understand the parties have something to tell me. MR. DINHOFER: Yes, your Honor. The parties have 6 7 agreed to settle this action in the net payable sum of \$600,000. With that, plaintiff will be providing the defendant 8 9 with a general release. 10 MR. HEUBEL: With a joint tortfeasor clause. 11 MR. DINHOFER: A stipulation of discontinuance. 12 MR. HEUBEL: Separately as to Dr. Sterman, and a 13 separate stipulation of discontinuance with prejudice as to 14 Montefiore and Dr. Strauch. 15 MR. DINHOFER: And they also request that a hold harmless letter with regard to any liens, of which there are 16 17 none. 18 MR. HEUBEL: There is a --MR. DINHOFER: A subrogation interest held by the 19 20 1199, and I have to debate with them whether or not they're 21 entitled to that under the 355 of the general obligation law 22 that was passed a year ago, last October. 23 MR. HEUBEL: And the understanding is that the 24 plaintiff will be responsible for any and all liens that there 25 are, attributable to the --

MR. DINHOFER: There are no liens. It's just that one subrogation interest by the insurance carrier, it's a contractual right of action, it's not a lien under the law.

MR. HEUBEL: We also have an agreement that the proceeds of settlement will not be paid for up to 90 days, and the hold harmless agreement you talked about is in a particular FOJP form, which I will send to you.

MR. DINHOFER: Okay.

MR. HEUBEL: Which includes an agreement not to publicize.

MR. DINHOFER: Okay. Just so you're aware, some of those hold harmless agreements require me as attorney to sign. A city bar ethics opinion said that's inappropriate, that's called Champerty, and as an attorney we're not allowed to sign those interests, because we don't have those rights and interests.

MR. HEUBEL: There are parts of the hold harmless lien that you're not aware of any liens and you won't publicize, and we'll arrange that you can agree to hold --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DINHOFER: That's in the form of a settlement agreement, that I understand.

THE COURT: You mentioned a --

MR. DINHOFER: I'm talking about the fiscal, I'm not allowed --

THE COURT: You mentioned an 1199 issue, that's

MR. DINHOFER: 1199 is his union.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DINHOFER: Okay, and they have a small claim of less than \$6,000, that is to say for the medical bills for Dr. Strauch's procedures that they believe they're entitled to reimbursement from. It is the law in malpractice cases, of course, that in the instance of a favorable verdict the defendant is not allowed to keep the proceeds of the procedure that he did inappropriately, so therefore the insurance company believes to be a subrogation which is a contractual remedy that they're entitled to it. However, the passage of GOL355 last year specifically states that unless it was a qualified ERISA plan, which the 1199 is not, then they are not entitled to it. However, I may have given them a letter of representation many years ago that may, and I don't know if it's been decided in the courts, how that affects a subsequent enactment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DINHOFER: That's just an issue for debate with 1199 that I will use in reducing their lien.

THE COURT: In any event, however, that's resolved, that's all on you. It doesn't affect the settlement.

MR. DINHOFER: Yes.

MR. HEUBEL: It's my understanding that the plaintiff has never been a recipient of Medicare or Medicaid?

MR. DINHOFER: That's correct.

1 THE COURT: And you said up to 90 days for payment? 2 One payment? 3 MR. HEUBEL: Up to 90 days from receipt of these 4 documents properly executed. 5 MR. DINHOFER: I would hope we'll have those documents done within the week, before the end of the week. 6 7 MR. HEUBEL: I'll have them to you tomorrow. 8 MR. DINHOFER: Good. 9 THE COURT: And I assume the insurance company is 10 fully on board with this and there's no need for separate 11 representation by them. 12 MR. HEUBEL: That's correct. 13 THE COURT: Okay. That seems adequate in terms of 14 documenting the settlement. Is there agreement in terms of 15 confidentiality, advertisement, that sort of thing? MR. DINHOFER: That's in the documents and I have no 16 17 problem with that. 18 MR. HEUBEL: Counsel has agreed. 19 MR. DINHOFER: My client has no problem with that 20 either. MR. HEUBEL: Counsel and his client I believe are in 21 22 agreement not to publicize the terms of the settlement. 23 MR. DINHOFER: Correct. He may tell his wife and 24 accountants for whatever purposes need be, but beyond that it

will go no further. And I generally tell my clients not to

25

make any mention of it, too, because when the world finds out you have money they feel they're entitled to a part of it, as you know from all the lottery people.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HEUBEL: Thank you, Judge, for all your --

MR. DINHOFER: It was your first trial, I understand?

THE COURT: My first trial.

MR. DINHOFER: I hope it's a memorable one.

MR. HEUBEL: Judge, it was my first trial in federal court, too. I couldn't have had a better time. The first time I stepped into this case was the first time I ever stepped into a federal courthouse and believe me there are things that are fabulous about it, but there are lots of rules that we state lawyers don't deal with on a routine -- are you taking this down?

MR. DINHOFER: I think we're done with the record. think we can go off the record.

(Discussion off the record)

(Adjourned)

25

ı		ı
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	Examination of: Page	
3	RONI GILADI	
4	Direct By Mr. Dinhofer 835	
5	Cross By Mr. Heubel 912	
6	Redirect By Mr. Dinhofer 971	
7	PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS	
8	Exhibit No. Received	
9	16	
10	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS	
11	Exhibit No. Received	
12	В	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		